



CGIAR Research Program on Livestock and Fish 2014 Performance Monitoring Report

Lead Center: International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI)

CGIAR Center partners: CIAT, ICARDA, WorldFish

Contact: Tom Randolph (t.randolph@cgiar.org)

www.livestockfish.cgiar.org

July 2015









CGIAR is a global partnership that unites organizations engaged in research for a food secure future. The CGIAR Research Program on Livestock and Fish aims to increase the productivity of small-scale livestock and fish systems in sustainable ways, making meat, milk and fish more available and affordable across the developing world. The Program brings together four CGIAR Centers: the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) with a mandate on livestock; WorldFish with a mandate on aquaculture; the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), which works on forages; and the International Center for Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), which works on small ruminants. http://livestockfish.cgiar.org

© 2015

This publication is licensed for use under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unsuported License. To view this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/. Unless otherwise noted, you are free to copy, duplicate, or reproduce, and distribute, display, or transmit any part of this publication or portions thereof without permission, and to make translations, adaptations, or other derivative works under the following conditions:

- **ATTRIBUTION.** The work must be attributed, but not in any way that suggests endorsement by the publisher or the author(s).
- NON-COMMERCIAL. This work may not be used for commercial purposes.
- SHARE ALIKE. If this work is altered, transformed, or built upon, the resulting work must be distributed only under the same or similar license to this one.

ilri.org
better lives through livestock
ILRI is a member of the CGIAR Consortium

Contents

| A. | | Key messages | 1 |
|----|-----|---|----|
| | A.1 | Progress and challenges | 1 |
| | A.2 | Two most significant achievements/success stories | 1 |
| | A.3 | Financial summary | 2 |
| В. | | Impact pathways and intermediate development outcomes (IDOs) | 3 |
| C. | | Progress along the impact pathways | 4 |
| | C.1 | Progress towards outputs | 4 |
| | C.2 | Progress towards the achievement of research outcomes and IDOs | 7 |
| | C.3 | Progress towards impact | 8 |
| D. | | Gender research achievements | 9 |
| Ε. | | Partnerships building achievements | 10 |
| F. | | Capacity building achievements | 11 |
| G. | | Risk management | 11 |
| Н. | | Lessons learned | 12 |
| | H.1 | Confidence of indicators | 12 |
| | H.2 | Changes in research direction | 12 |
| | H.3 | Lessons learned from evaluation | 12 |
| I. | | Financial report | 13 |
| An | nex | c 1. Program indicators of progress | 14 |
| An | nex | c 2. Performance indicators for gender mainstreaming with targets defined | 36 |
| An | nex | 3. Financial reports | 38 |

Acronyms

AAS CGIAR Research Program on Aquatic Agricultural Systems

A4NH CGIAR Research Program on Agriculture for Nutrition and Health

BMP Best management practices

CBPP Contagious bovine pleuro-pneumonia
CIAT International Center for Tropical Agriculture

CRP CGIAR Research Program

ECF East Coast fever FEAST Feed Assessment Tool

GAAP Gender, Agriculture and Assets Project
GIFT Genetic Improvement in Farmed Tilapia

GIZ Deutsche Gesellschaft für International Zusammenarbeit

GTA Gender transformative approaches

ICARDA International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas

IDO Intermediate development outcomes

IEIDEAS Improving employment and incomes through development of Egypt's aquaculture

sector project

ILRI International Livestock Research Institute
KIT Royal Tropical Institute (Netherlands)

M&E Monitoring and Evaluation
 NIRS Near-Infrared Spectroscopy
 OCS One Corporate System
 PCR Polymerase chain reaction
 SDC Swiss Development Corporation
 SLU Swedish Agricultural University

SNV Netherlands Development Organization SoFT Selection of Forages for the Tropics

SPAC Science and Partnership Advisory Committee
TechFit A tool for feed technology prioritization

TOSA Tools for systems analysis

A. Key messages

A.1 Progress and challenges

The CGIAR Research Program (CRP) on Livestock and Fish maintains a vision for the health, livelihoods and future prospects of the poor and vulnerable, especially women and children, to be transformed through two pathways: through consumption of adequate amounts of meat, milk and fish, and through benefits from improved incomes and livelihood by participating in the associated animal-source food value chains. The program seeks to achieve this vision by increasing the productivity of small-scale livestock and fish production systems and improving the performance of their associated value chains.

The program proposed an ambitious new model to enhance the relevance, urgency and impact of its research. It is designed to bring together collective capacity with CGIAR and other partners to develop and deliver appropriate integrated solutions for the pro-poor transformation of selected livestock/animal-source food value chains. As part of the model, the program is exploring how to work with development partners to translate these solutions into large development interventions likely to achieve sustainable impact at scale. The process also defines longer-term research to prepare future breakthroughs to ensure the continued viability and growth of these value chains. This model is a new way of working for the CGIAR that has required reorienting capacity, testing novel approaches, mobilizing new resources and establishing new types of partnerships and capacity to engage effectively in the selected value chains.

In its third year in 2014, the program maintained its steady output of research results from its technology platforms to support sustainable livestock and aquaculture intensification, and began reviewing the lessons learned so far in implementing its value chain approach for enhancing impact. Upstream, new capacity to support research on fish health and feeds has created exciting opportunities for synergies on technical research across the species reflected in a presentation at a fish health meeting and interactions to align the development of processes and procedures in the repository and data system between WorldFish with those at ILRI. The genetics team succeeded in securing major funding from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation for two new projects on dairy and chicken genetics that seek to demonstrate how new advances and tools in genomics can deliver better-suited breeds to farmers in a shorter time frame. Downstream, activities were successfully initiated in the Bangladesh aquaculture value chain, strongly complementing the existing work of the Aquatic Agricultural Systems (AAS) CRP there. In the better-established sites in Ethiopia, Uganda, Egypt and Tanzania, effort shifted from a focus on assessment to testing of technological and institutional innovations. A CRP-commissioned external evaluation of the program's value chain approach endorsed the value of the approach and progress achieved, and offered guidance on addressing many of the challenges that remain to fully realize the potential of the approach.

The program has continued to address the challenges cited in the 2013 report, namely adaptively managing the under-resourced components in the ambitious plan of work described in the program proposal, nurturing interdisciplinarity—including mainstreaming gender dimensions—as part of the value chain approach, and establishing a monitoring and evaluation system based on the program's Theory of Change and appropriate for research-for-development. To improve integration both across disciplines and between the discovery and delivery components, three of the CRP Themes (Value Chain Development; Targeting Sustainable Innovation; Gender & Learning) were re-organized into two Flagships: Systems Analysis for Sustainable Intensification and Value Chain Transformation & Scaling. This new structure enhances integration of the various cross-cutting, mainly social science activities to work more closely together within the Systems Analysis Flagship, while giving more emphasis to the role of the value chain teams and their engagement with development partners as the Value Chain Flagship. The new Flagships were prepared during 2014 and came into effect in January 2015.

A.2 Two most significant achievements/success stories

Evidence on the impact of aquaculture for nutritional security in Bangladesh: A paper published in World Development provided compelling evidence supporting the program's Theory of Change that pro-poor development of animal-source food value chains can enhance nutritional security of low-income consumers. The paper, entitled "Is aquaculture pro-poor? Empirical Evidence of Impacts on Fish Consumption in Bangladesh" is a joint output of AAS with funding from GIZ. The paper explores the long suspected link between aquaculture and poverty reduction. By analyzing changes in fish consumption in Bangladesh 2000-2010, it shows that growth in aquaculture has led to greater fish consumption among the poorest consumers in Bangladesh. Following three decades of sustained growth, aquaculture now accounts for 53% of reported fish production in Bangladesh. Analysis of nationally representative data indicates

that in 2000 and 2005, the majority of fish consumed by extreme and moderate poor households originated from inland capture fisheries. By 2010, however, aquaculture contributed the greater proportion of fish consumed. The article describes how total fish consumption by extreme poor and moderate poor households remained more or less constant from 2000 to 2005 but from 2005 to 2010 grew 0.7 kg (8%) for extreme poor households and 0.5 kg (4%) for the moderate poor, with the rate of consumption growth was fastest among extreme poor consumers. Over this period, the supply of fish from inland capture fisheries declined sharply, compensated by growth in aquaculture's contribution. The authors consider the dramatic impact on consumption among the poor under a counterfactual that aquaculture had not grown. The contribution of aquaculture both to increased supply and lower relative retail prices for fish is highlighted and shown to be relatively more significant for low-income households, and especially the extreme poor. During the food price crisis beginning in 2007, average fish prices increased in line with global peak food prices, but the real price of fish from aquaculture did not rise, with the increase in aquaculture fish supply appearing to have lessened upward price pressure on inland capture fisheries. Aquaculture in Bangladesh has been depicted as unlikely to benefit low-income consumers because of a tendency to produce large, high value fish. This new evidence demonstrates clearly that this has not proven to be the case.

Linking gender analysis to action: The <u>study</u> "From gender analysis to transforming gender norms: using empowerment pathways to enhance gender equity and food security in Tanzania" was reported at the International Food Security Dialogue in Canada. The study analyzed the impact of a crop and goat intervention on household gender relations among the participating livestock keepers and agriculturalists and in the framework of food security. The findings show that the introduction of the dairy goats increased the workload of women and children, had positive impacts on the independence and perceived food security of both women and men, and increased women's decision-making. However, these changes were limited in depth and scope, and did not question or challenge normative perceptions of gender-based roles. The study suggests the adoption of participatory and transformative approaches to gender analysis that builds empowerment pathways from the ground up while simultaneously working to influence the social environment in which movement along those pathways can be realized. The study is an important step in establishing an evidence base supporting CGIAR gender research on gender transformative approaches (GTA). Based on these findings, a GTA-based social media strategy was developed to support the program's dairy value chain work in Tanzania.

A.3 Financial summary

The program executed USD 31.8 million (90%) of the total 2014 USD 35.5 million budget. Gender research accounted for 10.7% of expenditures.

B. Impact pathways and intermediate development outcomes (IDOs)

The overall program impact pathway and <u>theory of change</u> is described in the program's **Results Strategy Framework** and Intermediate Development Outcomes (IDOs) (v.3) (https://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/IDO) and summarized in the program's extension proposal. The six IDOs adopted by program are:

- IDO1: Increased livestock and fish productivity in small-scale production systems for the target commodities
- IDO2: Increased quantity and improved quality of the target commodity supplied from the target small-scale production and marketing systems
- IDO3: Increased employment and income for low-income actors in the target value chains, with an increased share of employment opportunities for and income controlled by low-income women
- IDO4: Increased consumption of the target commodity responsible for filling a larger share of the nutrient gap for the poor, particularly for nutritionally vulnerable populations (women of reproductive age and young children)
- IDO5: Lower environmental impacts in the target value chains
- IDO6: Policies (including investments) support the development of the small-scale production and marketing systems, and seek to increase the participation of women within these value chains.

Indicators for the IDOs and methodology for estimating their target and actual values are described in an IDO Indicator Manual. During the year, it became evident that a revised, standard set of IDOs and sub-IDOs would be introduced under the new CGIAR Strategy and Results Framework, so it is anticipated that the indicators and methodology for their estimation will need to be revised. Work was initiated in 2014 to define how the monitoring and evaluation framework will be operationalized in practice, including the appropriate use of benchmarking, baselines and dedicated data collection. To date, the program is relying on situation analyses that have been prepared in the selected value chain countries that describe a range of indicators of the current status of the target pro-poor value chain based largely on secondary data in the public domain. More detailed baseline information is being collected as bilateral projects are funded and implemented in each value chain.

C. Progress along the impact pathways

The following summaries are derived from detailed annual reports by value chain and CGIAR center, and synthesis reports by program Theme; these can be accessed at: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/2014 AnnualReports.

C.1 Progress towards outputs

The program has been structured in six Themes, three of which support the principal technology drivers of productivity and intensification in livestock and aquaculture systems: animal health, animal genetics and feeds and forages. The other Themes (gender, learning and impact; targeting sustainable interventions; value chain development,) apply a combination of relevant biological and social science to address key dimensions associated with pro-poor value chain development and intensification and ensuring more effective agricultural research-for-development that translates into impact.

<u>Theme 1 - Animal health</u>: This Theme generates data and materials to improve the pro-poor management of animal health and food safety in the selected value chains.

Rapid assessments of animal health constraints were completed in <u>Tanzania</u>, <u>Uganda</u> and <u>Ethiopia</u>. These will inform the design of in-depth studies to look more closely at individual diseases and their interactions. The value of longitudinal study design that capture disease interactions has been highlighted in a series of analyses published from an earlier intensive, longitudinal study in calves in western Kenya. These analyses have revealed the impact of co-infections on the <u>survival</u> of indigenous calves, the sources of variation in <u>strongyle</u> infections, and relative chronicity of <u>haemoparasite infections</u>, each of which has important implications for improving disease control in cattle. Progress in the detection and characterization of the cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) response in immune cattle, which is the key protective mechanism and will form the basis of an improved East Coast fever vaccine, was made with the production of <u>peptide-major</u> histocompatibility complex (p-MHC) class I tetramers. In addition, research was completed which showed that the biomarker <u>perforin</u> can be used as an indicator for CTL activity, which will remove the need for costly and time-consuming cellular killing assays which are necessary to identify and evaluate potential vaccine molecules.

The role of a key *T. parva* surface protein, the polymorphic immunodominant molecule, was elucidated and indicates that it is important in the entry of the infective stage of the parasite into the host cells. As such, it offers a potential vaccine candidate since antibodies against the protein may prevent the establishment of infection. Work aimed at the development of an improved vaccine against contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP) was also advanced through the development of technology to alter the genome of mycoplasma organisms. By allowing the introduction or deletion of selected genes, this approach will allow researchers to identify, for example, gene products which are essential for virulence of the organism. Selective removal of such genes may result in a safe, non-pathogenic strain to be used as a vaccine. In a complementary line of research, proteomics analysis revealed surface proteins which are potential vaccine antigens given the likelihood that they are involved in host pathogen interactions. Disruption of such interactions by vaccine-induced antibodies may lead to protection against the disease.

To understand the role of vaccine in the control of CBPP, a <u>model</u> was developed to assess optimal intervention strategies. The model showed that a combination of effective vaccination together with improved testing and elimination of animals would significantly reduce the burden of the disease. It improves on previous work by determining quantitatively the extent of intervention that would be required to eliminate the disease. The model also estimates the minimal performance requirements for improved vaccines and diagnostic assays, which will guide the development and commercialization of such tools. A <u>study</u> on the willingness of farmers to pay for a CBPP vaccine indicated that participation levels in vaccination programs are lower than required to interrupt transmission of CBPP, and suggests that substantial sensitization will need to precede such programs. This finding was further supported by a <u>participatory study</u> assessing the knowledge, attitudes, perceptions and practices associated with CBPP vaccination and showing that farmers are not fully aware of the prevention measures available. The study also warned of the danger of adverse post-vaccinal reactions seen with the current vaccines which discourages uptake.

Aquatic animal health research got underway with a study on "Fish health interventions for sustainable tilapia value chain development in Egypt and Bangladesh" engaging the private sector (Merck/MSD) on emerging tilapia diseases. An aquatic animal health and food safety rapid assessment tool kit contextualized for Bangladesh was developed.

The strong cross-CRP synergy established with Agriculture for Nutrition and Health CRP (A4NH) generated a number of findings reported under A4NH but which directly contribute to ensuring appropriate development of Livestock & Fish value chains, including: assessing risks associated with <u>Ebola</u> in pigs in Uganda, with <u>water quality and milk</u> in Ethiopia

and with the <u>pork chain</u> in Nagaland, India; integrated health and nutrition assessments in the <u>small ruminant chain</u> in Ethiopia and <u>tilapia chain</u> in Egypt; spatial and temporal patterns of <u>Rift Valley fever outbreaks</u> in Tanzania; and a brief on <u>animal-source food safety policy engagement</u> in East Africa.

<u>Theme 2 - Animal genetics</u>: This Theme targets improved strains and breeding strategies that sustainably improve animal productivity.

In Bangladesh, fish genetics work on rohu carp was initiated with 210 families produced from wild stocks. The breeding program in Egypt, Malaysia and Bangladesh successfully produced next generations of their improved strain of Nile tilapia and those on blue tilapia and catfish were maintained. How research supported the <u>seed dissemination strategy</u> in Egypt was described.

Robust ICT tools have been developed to speed the efficiency of selective breeding and to gain information on the performance of livestock under farm conditions, such as "Najombe planner", a new cell phone-based system for capturing and feeding back cattle performance on real time basis being trialed in Kenya. (Najombe means 'cow' in kiSwahili.) These tools are being used to establish large data sets for informing breeding choices, the first of which has been created for dairy genetics in East Africa. Findings were reported supporting planning and optimization of community-based sheep breeding programs by proving the feasibility of pedigree recording and selection in village sheep flocks, simulating bio-economic efficiencies of various breeding structures (community based breeding programs versus nucleus breeding programs versus a combination), estimating genetic gains and profits under different scenarios of farmers participation, intensity of selection, duration of ram use, flock size of cooperatives and ewe to ram mating ratio in such programs, and reviewing the latest knowledge on estimates of genetic parameters for growth and reproduction in sheep.

Theme 3 - Feeds and forages: This Theme develops superior feed and forage options that respond to current and evolving demands to increase meat, milk and fish production while reducing the ecological footprint. An initial focus has been to establish a common assessment platform using Near Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) for feed quality lab analysis and developing a set of tools for field assessment. The NIRS network in South Asia, East Africa and Latin and Central America developed an updated NIRS equation for Bracharia and generated new equations for pig feeds (Uganda), pulses (lentils, chickpea, faba bean and field pea), fish feeds, hydrocyanic acid (HCN) in forages, non-protein nitrogen in compound feeds, and amino acid contents in monogastric, fish and ruminant compound feeds and feed ingredients (Africa and Asia). A new mobile handheld NIRS version extended the platform's phenotyping capability and was pre-tested for prediction of protein and fat content in aqua feeds. A shared CGIAR capacity now exists to cater to most feed advisory and analytical demands.

Assessments of existing feed resources inform their better use. Improved versions of the FEAST and TechFit tools, which have become the focus for supporting better assessment of available resources and options to improve their use, were developed in <u>collaboration</u> with the Systems CRPs. <u>FEAST applications</u> were combined with new Participatory GIS approaches and household surveys to propose baskets of feed options. TechFit was further <u>parameterized</u> based on expert views, and 30 <u>factsheets</u> were developed describing and characterizing representative feed interventions. The tools are benefiting from increasing application in a range of different contexts and geographies. Proof-of-concept of key feed interventions for making better use of existing feed resources such as feed processing, feed substitution, ration balancing and creation of small scale business enterprises was achieved in value chains in <u>Tanzania</u> and <u>India</u>. In Bangladesh, certain feed interventions have been scaled out through a USAID project. In collaboration with the Indian National Dairy Development Board, an international <u>workshop</u> at Patancheru introduced the concept of increasing accessibility to ration balancing tools to mitigate the high investment currently required to establish and maintain this type of individualized advice service for farmers.

With regards to reducing feed—mediated negative environmental footprints, an analysis was completed of the structure and performance of the <u>fish feed value chain</u> in Egypt, with a number of opportunities identified to increase efficiency in feed supply. A major study undertaken with the World Resource Institute offered a <u>global perspective</u> on the various environmental trade-offs associated with projected growth of world aquaculture under a range of scenarios, including implications for different feed strategies. The analysis highlights the need for alternative feed technologies. For livestock, systematic investigations of feed-price quality relationships in <u>Tanzania</u> confirmed regulatory mechanisms for feed quality were lacking or insufficient with significant impact on willingness to invest in feed supplements and feed concentrates.

With respect to improved feed and forage materials, options for upgrading of <u>lignocellulosic biomass</u> for animal feed were described in practical collaboration with private sector. A range of grass and legume forages were tested off station in <u>Uganda</u> for increasing feed biomass for ruminants and monogastrics in difficult production environments while at the same time mitigating the negative environmental <u>footprint</u>.

Theme 4 - Value chain development: This Theme develops and applies methods and tools to assess and engage in propoor value chains for animal-source foods. It simultaneously generates evidence about the appropriateness of the technologies and institutional innovations in designing integrated gender-sensitive interventions to take to scale. In 2014, this work was transitioning from assessment to testing of intervention components. Situational analysis reports are available for all selected value chains and indicating a range of candidate best bet technologies and strategies, as well as opportunities for poor households and pre-commercial actors to respond to increasing demand for animal products. These analyses reinforce evidence that high input costs, low output prices, lack of standards and grades, poor market information and inadequate coordination of the value chains are serious constraints. Benchmarking is being undertaken to prepare testing of candidate technologies: in Ethiopia the benchmarking toolkit under development was adapted to sheep and goats value chains and translated to enable mobile recording, with lessons documented. Use of commercial feeds in Tanzania was documented through a survey of the commercial feed sector.

A wide range of best bet technologies and strategies have been identified and are at various stages of prioritization, piloting and validation. A <u>protocol</u> was established to guide the selection and evaluation of candidate technologies. In Uganda, to improve standards and grades, <u>algorithms</u> based on two body measurements to get reliable predictions of body weight and improve the bargaining power of small scale pig farmers when selling pigs to traders were developed through collaboration with Iowa State University. In Egypt, the piloting of six <u>women fish retailer groups</u> under an SDC-funded project was documented.

The focus on preparing scaling out of interventions through engagement with strategic stakeholders continued, including communication training for staff of the Dairy Development Forum in Tanzania and facilitating the development of regional and village-level dairy innovation platforms in Tanga and Morogoro, Tanzania. An Aquaculture Innovation Platform was launched in Egypt and best management practices (BMP) training materials widely disseminated there, as well as transferred to Bangladesh working through AAS. . Activities were successfully initiated in the Bangladesh aquaculture value chain following approval of the Bangladesh value chain business case as a replacement for the Uganda fish value chain.

Theme 5 - Targeting for sustainable interventions: This Theme ensures that the program focuses on the appropriate value chains, sites, beneficiaries and solutions that will generate the most impact with the best environmental outcomes. Using the protocol developed by the program, site selection exercises were completed in Bihar (India) and Burkina Faso. In collaboration with the Humidtropics CRP, an online and open access-compliant data base was established for Tools for Systems Analysis (TOSA), which is being expanded as a repository for other types of tools, including those related to gender and value chain assessment. This platform facilitates access to the tools by external users and encourages better documentation of their use. Gender is one important dimension being searchable through the web portal, with metadata differentiated between gender strategic, gender integrated and gender neutral tools. As a key resource for targeting research, improved global livestock population density maps and methodology used to generate them were published and made available on the Livestock Geo-Wiki.

Work on the environment agenda produced a framework for environmental impact assessment in livestock value chains that considers environmental sustainability in terms of water, soil and biodiversity as well as greenhouse gas impacts, was reported at the 6th All Africa Conference on Animal Agriculture; the framework has been applied in Tanzania. A new research line on improving measurement of greenhouse gas emissions from livestock was initiated and an early publication highlighted the need for such data to improve the representation of livestock in nitrogen budgeting for Africa. A new agenda was also started on the role of animal-source foods for human nutrition, generating an infographic regarding fish and nutrition in Egypt and blogs on the contribution of fish to nutrition in Africa and in developing countries more generally to begin raising awareness.

<u>Theme 6 – Gender and Learning</u>: This Theme contributes to two program outcomes: the first ensuring that women, men and marginalized groups have more equitable access to affordable and nutritious animal-source foods through gender equitable interventions; the second supporting monitoring, evaluation and more active capturing and internalization of lessons learned.

The program's agenda on research is guided by its Gender Strategy which identifies four main areas of focus. To address the first on capacity development, <u>Lessons</u> from applying a simple tool to access partners' gender capacity development needs in Nicaragua, <u>Tanzania</u>, <u>Ethiopia</u>, and Uganda were reported. It informed training on gender in value chains conducted in <u>Mozambique</u>, <u>Nicaragua</u> and <u>Ethiopia</u>, as well as the development of a second generation <u>assessment tool</u> in collaboration with Transition International. Related to the second focus of the gender strategy on gender in value chains, publications based on earlier work documented the very low access of women to <u>pump</u> <u>irrigation</u> technologies and gender differentiated control of irrigated activities, provided evidence that <u>feminist</u>

evaluation can enhance effectiveness and equity of interventions meant for empowerment of small-scale in Syria. A study assessing the role of livestock in pathways out of poverty from a gender perspective found that the limited rights women generally have over livestock may relate to their informal means of acquisition (e.g. inheritance, gifts, etc.) that entail less rights when compared to outright purchase. Also, women's lack of access to complementary assets or service (e.g. health, marketing, etc.) reduces the viability of livestock as a pathway out of poverty for them. A Gender, Agriculture and Assets Project (GAAP) conceptual framework was developed to assess how gender and assets affect household and individual well-being. To confirm whether gender research priorities are appropriate and sufficiently comprehensive, reviews of previous experiences and lessons learned regarding gender were completed in Tanzania, Uganda and Ethiopia. In India, two studies found that although women and men have equivalent levels of ownership and access to assets and incomes, low literacy levels among women prevented them from active participation in the dairy cooperatives. A set of five research briefs summarized key gender research findings regarding cattle vaccine use, dairy producer organizations, microcredit, measuring empowerment, and indigenous poultry keeping. New tools to support gender analysis were developed for value chains and public health (aflatoxins, in collaboration with A4NH), with training provided in Uganda for one. The third gender strategy focus is gender and society. A case study on the role of gender analysis was reported at a major food security conference. The study assesses the impact of a crop and goat intervention in Tanzania on household gender relations and concluded that gender analysis may be insufficient if not supported by gender transformative approaches. As the result, two gender transformative social media strategies were developed for the Tanzania dairy value chain. An in-depth review of how to understand drivers of norm change in Bangladesh found considerable information on how trends such as climate change and commercial aquaculture are acting upon women and men dependent on agricultural livelihoods, but concluded that relational aspects of gender and how gender relations are evolving in response is not fully captured: better understanding is needed of how women and men, particularly among the most poor, are expressing and working with agency. A modular tool for Gender <u>Transformative Analysis</u> was prepared to assess changes in gender norms overtime. The final gender strategy focus considers equity in animal-source food consumption and nutrition. Work was initiated in this area with a gendered analysis of consumption in Ethiopia which identified norms and cultural factors restricting women's access to meat and milk. An in-depth study of animal-source food consumption in Egypt analyzed the role of prices, perceptions and preferences in consumer decision making.

To provide the basis for program and research evaluation, the program's monitoring, evaluation and learning framework was finalized, together with a manual for measuring the program's Intermediate Development Outcome (IDO) indicators. These indicators will need to be revised given the new IDOs adopted as part of the CGIAR Strategy and Results Framework. Work was initiated to design an M&E system appropriate for the type of research-for-development undertaken by the program, with two commissioned reviews completed that explore how such a system could be developed within the Theory of Change approach adopted by the program, and based on the impact pathway narratives being developed for each of the program's target value chains; narratives for India-Bihar, Ethiopia and Burkina Faso were completed in 2014. The program undertook its first CRP-Commissioned External Evaluation, which reviewed the program's value chain approach. A number of actions will be implemented in 2015 to respond to the recommendations from the evaluation. Lessons learned implementing such an evaluation were documented in the evaluation report. A major impact assessment study was reported presenting evidence on the links between aquaculture and food and nutritional security among low-income households in Bangladesh, highlighted above as one of the success stories for the year.

C.2 Progress towards the achievement of research outcomes and IDOs

The program devotes science to generating novel technologies and effective strategies that support pro-poor livestock and fish value chain development and transformation. At this stage in the program, much of the emphasis is on improving productivity, so research outcomes being observed are mostly related to this first IDO: Increased productivity.

The introduction of technology for reducing shrimp disease in Bangladesh translated into 448 million PCR-tested, WSSV-free shrimp post larvae shrimp being delivered from 23 hatcheries to around 23,000 small scale farmers (all men). The Department of Fisheries is supporting wider uptake by making it mandatory for all shrimp hatcheries to supply only PCR-tested negative seed to farmers.

The remaining stocks of the live East Coast fever vaccine produced by ILRI were provided to vaccine distributors in Tanzania, Malawi, Kenya and Uganda, and the production technology has been transferred to the Centre for Tick and

¹ Technical report, USAID AIN Project. The report is not publicly accessible as WorldFish no longer uploads donor reports to the web since these types of reports usually are neither formally edited nor proofread. The report is available upon request.

Tick Borne Disease Control in Malawi. Increasing uptake of the vaccine contributed to successful engagement of national authorities and other stakeholders in the region in a workshop by ILRI and GALVmed to <u>define the agenda</u> for research and resource mobilization to further improve the vaccine, including removing cold chain requirements and the need for the antibiotic oxytetracycline as a necessary component of the vaccine procedure.

The Abbassa strain of Nile tilapia *(Oreochromis niloticus)* is now being used in at least 1200 fish farms in Egypt; 2,000 farmers and pond workers (men) received training on best management practices (BMP) there with initial assessments suggesting immediate changes in fish farm practices. A total of seven tilapia breeding nuclei have now been established in Bangladesh, six using the 11th generation of the GIFT strain from the WorldFish Jitra Station, Malaysia. Four Tilapia Satellite Hatcheries established with the support of the USAID Agriculture for Income and Nutrition project, have distributed more than 22 million improved seeds to 25,000 grow-out farmers (mostly men) throughout Bangladesh.² An estimated 488,426 farmers are now applying new technologies or management practices.

The Dairy Genetics East Africa project <u>outputs</u> provided evidence that helped leverage new investments to plan large research for-development programs on providing dairy cattle and associated breeding services in Tanzania through sustainable, long term business models.

The FEAST and TechFit tools for identifying feed needs and options are increasingly being requestedby research and development practitioners, both within the program's target value chains and within the systems and environmental CRPs. The resulting high demand for training made it a priority to develop a web-based tool and instructional program that supports users designing their own training sessions, including evaluations of reports and synthesis and use of key information. This approach is expected to accelerate uptake of the tools and systematic evaluation for improving efficiency of feed use in livestock and aquaculture value chains.

The SoFT tool now has now more than 250 000 visits per year, ranging from researchers, technicians, development workers to educational institutions.

As evidenced by the attention given to crop residue fodder traits in current planning in crop commodity CRPs, proof-of-concept work on dual purpose food-feed cultivars has demonstrably influenced international and national, private and public crop improvement to include crop residue quantitative and qualitative fodder traits in multidimensional crop improvement. The intermediate outcome from these changed research paradigms will be that crops will be increasingly improved from a whole plant optimization perspective, rather than for a single trait.

In Vietnam, tools for value chain assessment and benchmarking have been taken up by partners for their own programs.

C.3 Progress towards impact

Some initial evidence was generated regarding potential and realized impact. The <u>study</u> on impacts of aquaculture on food consumption among lower income consumers in Bangladesh, highlighted as one of the success stories showed a clear link between aquaculture development and nutrition security, underpinning the rational of increasing efficiency of farmed fish production, including genetic improvement, to increase access of the poor to nutritious animal-source foods in Bangladesh.

initial extrapolations from a <u>review</u> of the SDC-funded IEIDEAS project found that as the use of the improved Abbassa tilapia strain spreads and more farmers adopt Best Management Practices (BMP) including farm level biosecurity practices to reduce the incidence of disease, aquaculture production will continue to grow, generating more jobs along the value chain. Net incomes for fish farmers implementing BMPs are expected to have increased by around \$17 million (an 11% increase in profitability) by the end of 2014 just due to improved feed efficiency while further gains are likely to have accrued to other value chain actors. The review also concluded that the adoption and application of BMPs in Egyptian aquaculture result in significant improvements in efficiency particularly with respect to feed use where the food conversion ratio was reduced to 1.4 compared to 1.8, improving profitability.

The impact of interventions from feed and forage research were estimated by ex-ante assessment of the value addition to feed and fodder moving along feed and fodder value chains. A first <u>assessment</u> in a Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation-funded scoping study estimated interventions improving the quality of the basal diet from crop residue and forages to double average daily milk yields to about 10 kg at feed costs amounting to about 50% of farm gate produce sales price. A second <u>assessment</u> found feed interventions reducing water requirements for dairy buffalo in India on byproduct based feeding systems by 75%, significantly mitigating its potential environmental impact. In Latin America, sales data indicate that another 70 000 ha were sown in 2014 with Brachiaria hybrids generated from the forage breeding program. Significant spill-over of new forages into East Africa was observed with an estimated 30 000 farmer having adopted Brachiaria hybrids.

D. Gender research achievements

As clearly indicated by the number and distribution of research achievements by the program's gender team described in section C.1 under the Gender & Learning Theme, the team made solid progress across all four objectives of the program gender strategy. This progress was achieved in a context in which the program's gender agenda was facing important challenges. Concerns had been raised both internally and externally that insufficient attention was being given to strengthening the program's gender research capacity and to ensuring that gender was adequately mainstreamed across the breadth of the program. These concerns were heightened when the senior gender researcher resigned due to family reasons and difficulties were experienced in recruiting a replacement. In response, the program initiated a gender action plan for gender mainstreaming, of which a central feature has been the engagement of the Royal Tropical Institute (KIT) to strengthen gender integration within the program and to allow the gender team to deliver on its strategic gender research. A team of KIT researchers undertook an assessment of gender mainstreaming opportunities within each of the program Themes, consulting with a range of researchers outside of the gender team. This effort culminated in a writeshop in Kenya in November 2014 in which over 25 researchers were introduced to gender mainstreaming concepts and coached in developing proposals for applying those concepts to their own research. The result was a set of 23 proposals, a number of which were to be funded subsequently by the program and others to be funded through other bilateral funding sources. This strategy has established a core of Gender Fellows within the program interested in integrating gender analysis and perspectives into their own research and who are expected to serve as champions for making such integration best practice. The KIT team, in collaboration with the ILRI gender team, is continuing to coach the researchers whose proposals are funded. The restructuring of the existing Themes into Flagships during the extension period also could have impacted negatively on the visibility of the gender research agenda; this has been addressed by establishing a cross-cutting Gender Initiative to be led by the senior gender specialist when recruited.

By engaging with KIT to lead the gender mainstreaming effort, the short-staffed gender team was able to continue its focus on implementing the program's gender strategy. While specific achievements are described in section c.1, their contribution to the gender strategy is summarized here. In terms of the first objective of the strategy for gender capacity development, needs assessments were conducted that informed the organization of several training events in Mozambique, Nicaragua and Ethiopia where training tools under development were applied. Lessons from those initial experiences led to the development of a more refined tool for partners' gender needs assessments. External expertise from Transition International was engaged to support this process. Addressing the gender in value chains objective continued its focus on assessment issues, by providing overviews of emerging gender issues in the CRP's value chains; exploring gendered priorities in cattle vaccine use and poultry keeping, and gendered impact of microcredit and dairy organisations; studying the gender aspects of assets and livestock. Particular attention has been given to how the issue of ownership is treated in gendered assessments: local understanding of ownership can vary greatly, and this has resulted in often superficial characterization of ownership patterns when using standard data collection tools. This work is leading to a shift from questions about 'who owns what' to more concrete and discrete questions about resource management and benefit sharing. The third gender strategy objective on gender and society was advanced through development of a manual on gender transformative approaches and a case study motivating the need for gender analysis to be complemented by interventions to promote empowerment (highlighted as a success story). Finally, work was successfully initiated to address the fourth objective on gender and nutrition by focusing on consumption in Egypt and Ethiopia through gendered assessments in collaboration with A4NH.

E. Partnerships building achievements

The program continued developing strategic partnerships at program, Theme and value chain site levels. At program level, the focus has been on developing full partnership with selected aligned academic institutions, namely the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU) and Wageningen University Research, and development bodies: SNV, CARE and GIZ. The two universities offer a broad range of capacity and development-oriented research that either strengthens or addresses gaps in existing CGIAR capacity. Consultations were held within each university to identify priority areas for developing a joint program as the basis for institutional agreements formalizing partnership commitments. Similar consultations were held between ILRI and SNV after signing a memorandum of understanding; these have led to increased collaboration on CRP activities in several countries and to SNV involvement in a major new dairy genetics project in East Africa. The relationship with CARE in Egypt offers a telling example of the benefits that appropriate partnership with development actors can generate; based on their successful collaboration with the program on a major SDC-funded project, CARE is leading a new project that will scale out the aquaculture lessons from the earlier project to Upper Egypt.

The arrangement between CIAT, Dow Agrosciences and Papalotla (Tropical Seed) for commercial distribution of forage seed expanded for the first time out of Latin America and into Africa as Papalotla registered to operate in Kenya; this has important implications for accelerating uptake of improved Brachiaria varieties in Africa. New collaborations were initiated with the international private sector. Merck (MSD) Animal Health began working with WorldFish in assessing tilapia diseases in Egypt and Bangladesh. Also in Egypt, Skretting Feeds and Aller Aqua have begun joint development of fish feeds and producer training with WorldFish.

At country level, the value chain teams have continued to support partner alliances through local and national platforms. In Ethiopia, the team was invited to join the livestock development working group convened by the newly established State Minister of Livestock.

F. Capacity building achievements

Training activities are embedded throughout the program and a list of the various events is provided in the Performance Indicator Table in annex. A total of 5,127 people, 27% of them women, were involved in short-term training events over the course of the year. The program also hosted 45 degree students, nearly half of whom (47%) were women. To be more systematic and strategic in targeting capacity development efforts to support the implementation of the best bet innovations and integrated value chain interventions, work to design and test capacity assessment tools was initiated. Preliminary assessments of capacity needs were completed in <u>Uganda</u>, <u>Tanzania</u> and Ethiopia. An immediate priority identified in <u>Tanzania</u> was addressed through training in knowledge management and communication for the national dairy development forum. Particular attention was then given to methods for assessing capacity to address gender-related issues (as reported above under section C.1). An instructional design approach was applied in Uganda in collaboration with SNV and BRAC to develop a process for modular content for training materials to support smallholder pig producers and value chain actors.

G. Risk management

The three major risks that may hinder the expected delivery of results by the program include two identified in previous years:

- 1) Maintaining stable funding: The program relies on securing restricted project grants to fund half of the overall program budget, especially those portions supporting operational costs. The program has made progress in mobilizing bilateral funding, but has not achieved the levels required to implement fully the originally proposed agenda. This has been partly addressed through additional W2 funding commitments that the program has attracted. The program sought to smooth the expenditure from year to year to match the ability of the program to absorb the large fluctuations in W2 commitments and maintain steady growth, but an unanticipated change in finance rules resulted in \$8.1 million in W2 funding being unexpectedly withdrawn from the program. The program now faces a much higher risk of funding shortfalls over the extension period.
- 2) Planning uncertainty: The uncertainty generated by the various processes undertaken by the Consortium and its stakeholders to design the second phase of the CRPs limits the ability of the program to engage with partners and ultimately beneficiaries in medium and longer-term planning, including entering into agreements that involve financial or programmatic commitments. The program cannot guarantee that the various components of the current research agenda will be maintained under a revised CRP portfolio, or that commitments by bilateral donors can be appropriately aligned to the new agenda. An additional exacerbating factor is the challenge the program faces in managing multi-year financial commitments with partners given that funds cannot be carried over between years.
- 3) Weak program management systems: The development of the CGIAR 'one corporate system' (OCS) was expected to address the need for better performing systems, but its implementation among the partners has been staggered, with the Lead Centre planning to come online only in the second half of 2015. The delays have constrained the program's ability to adopt results-based management strategies.

H.Lessons learned

H.1 Confidence of indicators

The indicators reported in Table 1 are derived from detailed data presented in the various background reports, which cite the supporting evidence. The program is more confident this year in the quality of the indicator data supplied because of the development and use of a simple database to capture and aggregate the data across the nine value chains, four centers and six Themes. This allowed for duplications to be more easily detected and resolved. The program also initiated a mid-year update of indicator data which has contributed to more exhaustive reporting. There is still a lack of clarity about the definition of some of the indicators that may lead to inconsistency in reporting the numbers across CRPs and that the Consortium should resolve ahead of the next Annual Report.

H.2 Changes in research direction

The effort to strengthen gender mainstreaming across the program through the collaboration with KIT represented the most significant change in the research agenda. It has already provided evidence of promoting wider integration of gender-relevant analysis within each of the Themes. Preliminary trials of tank-based catfish production as a complementary backyard system to the existing commercial tilapia pond aquaculture were not encouraging, so this line of research was terminated. With the development of aquaculture value chain work in Bangladesh, the previous exclusive focus on tilapia breeding was expanded to consider the role of breeding of other fish used in production systems there, and particularly the interesting challenge of breeding for polyculture systems. Breeding stocks were established to initiate rohu carp breeding, and successful breeding of two wild indigenous species, tengra and mullet, was achieved with national research partners. Studies were also initiated on a small indigenous species especially strategic for human nutrition: the mola.

H.3 Lessons learned from evaluation

The program relies on several different forms of evaluation, including the Consortium reporting exercise and indicators, regular review by the Science and Partnership Advisory Committee, a CRP-Commissioned External Evaluation on the value chain approach, and internal reflection by the Program Planning and Management Committee. Three main messages to date regarding the program's implementation have emerged consistently across these sources; to a large degree, these reflect fundamental challenges set by the CGIAR reform process. The first challenge is the continuing need to sharpen the logic and articulation of how research activities are leading to the targeted outcomes. The program is trying to address this by designing an M&E system directly founded within the program's Theory of Change that will provide a framework more familiar and relevant to scientists while maintaining a focus on development objectives. Framing our research as 'product lines' is also intended to sharpen our collective ability to track better the progress of specific research efforts. The second challenge has been integrating better research capacity and activities within the program, across Themes, centres, and research disciplines. The program continues to experiment with mechanisms to address this, with a current focus on improving the integration between the discovery Themes and the value chain teams within the delivery Theme. Investment is being made in establishing joint objectives, responsibilities and work plans to enhance joint planning and implementation. This challenge extends into the value chain teams where more effort is needed to develop methods and nurture a culture of multidisciplinarity. The final challenge relates to achieving the program vision of a more effective interface between research and development to both improve the relevance of research priorities and facilitate and accelerate research into use. The program has increasingly appreciated the need to create a space and bridging mechanism for learning how research and development can work together while respecting and strengthening their respective comparative advantages. These evaluation messages have informed actions to be implemented in the program during the extension period.

I. Financial report

The financial reports are attached as Annex 3.

Annex 1. Program indicators of progress

Detailed explanation for the source of the indicators can be found on the wiki in the various Theme, center and value chain reports posted there. Explanatory notes at the bottom of the table are provided for selected indicators.

| Indicator | Deviation narrative (if actual is more than 10% away from target) | 20 | 013 | | 2014 | 2015 |
|--|--|--------|--------|--------------|--|--------|
| | targetj | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target |
| KNOWLEDGE, TOOLS, DATA | | | | 1 3.1. 8 2 2 | 1.000 | |
| 1. Number of flagship | | | 4 | 5 | None | |
| "products" produced by CRP | | | | | | |
| 2. % of flagship products produced that have explicit target of women farmers/NRM managers | | | 0% | Not set | N/A | |
| 3. % of flagship products produced that have been assessed for likely gender-disaggregated impact | | | 25% | Not set | N/A | |
| 4. Number of tools produced by the CRP | | | 11 | 25 | N = 40 (* = output shared with CRP A4NH and ** = output shared with CRP AAS) Aquaculture Hatchery best management practices training manual, Egypt: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Best%20Management%20Practices%20of%20Egyptian%20Tilapia%20Hatcheries.pdf Gender Transformative Approach tool for Value Chain Assessment, Bangladesh: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VC Toolkit#x-Gender/GTA Training manual for DREMS (Data recording and management system for breeding program, Ethiopia: http://srvgen.cnpc.embrapa.br/drems/start.php Gender-responsive participatory assessment of the community based sheep breeding programs, Ethiopia: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/ethiopia_cbbp_gender_tool.docx Quantitative Value Chain Assessment Benchmarking tools for small ruminant value chains | |

| including application for mobile data recording, Ethiopia: http://livestock- |
|---|
| fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Ethiopia |
| Tools for assessing women's ownership perceptions, Nicaragua: |
| https://www.dropbox.com/sh/h265qp8auk6t8cu/AACnIABLmzF6NSFtBVO9SJi7a |
| Farmer Value Chain Assessment Tool, Nicaragua: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/nicaragua |
| Gendered benchmarking tools, Nicaragua: http://data.ilri.org/portal/dataset/adanicbaseline |
| Guidelines for site specific planning, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Monitoring learning and evaluation tool for Tanzania dairy value chain, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Project+Review+and+Planning%2C+and+Steering+Commi |
| <u>ttee+Meeting%2C+March+17+%E2%80%93+19%2C+2014</u> |
| Monitoring survey for Tanzania dairy value chain, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Training need assessment for Tanzania dairy value chain, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Adapting dairy market hubs for pro-poor smallholder dairy value chains in Tanzania, |
| Tanzania: http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Gender capacity assessment guide, Tanzania: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/capdev |
| Pig producer benchmarking survey, Uganda: http: <u>www.livestock-</u> |
| fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda |
| Choice experiment tool with live pig trader, Uganda: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/SPVCD Choice%20experiment%20tool%20with%20live%20pi |
| g%20traders.docx |
| Food demand and intra-household dietary survey with a focus on animal-source foods, |
| Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/IrishAidUg-Socio- |
| <u>DemoPartSectionA-F_Final.docx</u> |
| Business planning and finance tools in smallholder pig value chains, Uganda: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/56822 |
| Marketing and institution strengthening tools in the smallholder pig value chains, Uganda: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/56688 |
| Value chain assessment tools for investigation of adoption benefit and constraints of using |
| diets validated in pig feed trials, Uganda: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Report%20on%20the%20Smallholder%20Pig%20Value%20Ch |
| ains%20Development%20Project%20Pig%20Feeding%20Training%20and%20Feedback%20W |
| orkshop.doc |
| Training manual for the bio-security protocol for preventing the spread of African Swine |
| Fever at farm level, Uganda: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Training%20module%20on%20African%20Swine%20Fever%2 |
| <u>Ocontrol.pdf</u> |
| Training manual on pig management and husbandry practices, Uganda: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/64960 |
| Training manual pig parasite control, Uganda: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/56639 |
| |

| | Т Т | 1 | 1 | | | 1 |
|-------------------------|-----|---|-----|---------|---|---|
| | | | | | Tools to measure Knowledge Attitude Practices Capacities and incentives of value chain | |
| | | | | | actors on bio-security for the control of African Swine Fever, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | | Tools for a qualitative assessment of risk of African Swine Fever along the pig value chain, | |
| | | | | | Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | | Questionnaire to ascertain factors influencing successful inclusion of smallholder farmers in | |
| | | | | | inclusive value chains in the Lake Victoria basin, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | | Questionnaire to assess the competitiveness of the pig enterprise amongst integrated | |
| | | | | | smallholder crop livestock systems in peri-urban and rural Mukono district, Uganda: | |
| | | | | | http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | | Baseline survey/tools for health risks in pig value chain in Nghe An province, Vietnam: | |
| | | | | | http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/Database VNpigproducers.xlsx | |
| | | | | | Tools for value chain assessment benchmarking for company pig producers, households, pig | |
| | | | | | producers, consumers, retailers, feed traders, Vietnam: http://livestock- | ŀ |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Vietnan | |
| | | | | | Value Chain Assessment questionnaire for pig traders, boar breeders, village veterinarians, | |
| | | | | | traders and input suppliers, Vietnam: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Vietnam | |
| | | | | | Baseline survey tools for producers, consumers, input suppliers, meat traders, meat | |
| | | | | | processors, slaughter houses and pig traders, Vietnam: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/Survey+Questionaires | |
| | | | | | Tools for gender transformative analysis of the value chain, version 1.0, Global: | |
| | | | | | http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/Introduction%20to%20the%20gender%20VCA%20module.doc | |
| | | | | | x/513110212/ | |
| | | | | | Value chain assessment and benchmarking toolkit, Global: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VC Toolkit | |
| | | | | | Survey tools for assessing food demand and intra-household diets, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | | Review of gender and value chain analysis, development and evaluation toolkits, Global: | |
| | | | | | https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/35656 | |
| | | | | | A Toolkit on Collecting Gender & Assets Data in Qualitative & Quantitative Program | |
| | | | | | Evaluations, Global: http://gaap.ifpri.info/files/2010/12/GAAP Toolkit Update FINAL.pdf | |
| | | | | | L&F CRP core and medium term Intermediate Development Outcomes (IDO) indicator | |
| | | | | | manual, Global: http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42448 | |
| | | | | | Mini-survey tool for the rapid quantification of gender-differentiated food security | |
| | | | | | indicators, Global: https://mahider.cgiar.org/handle/10568/56694 | |
| | | | | | Ng'ombe Planner, East Africa: http://biolives.wordpress.com/2014/08/11/filling-the-milk- | |
| | | | | | glass-east-african-farmers-to-gain-from-new-recording-device/ | |
| 5. % of tools that have | | | 27% | Not set | N = 24 (60%) | |
| an explicit target of | | | | | | |
| women farmers | | | | | Gender Transformative Approach tool for Value Chain Assessment, Bangladesh: | |
| | | | | | , , | |
| | | | | | Gender-responsive participatory assessment of the community based sheep breeding | |
| , , | | | | | http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VC_Toolkit#x-Gender/GTA | |
| | | | | | Genuer-responsive participatory assessment or the community based sneep breeding | |

| programs, Ethiopia: http://livestock- |
|---|
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/ethiopia_cbbp_gender_framework.docx |
| Tools for Gender Integration into community based sheep fattening, Ethiopia: |
| http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/ethiopia_cbbp_gender_tool.docx |
| Tools for assessing women's ownership perceptions, Nicaragua: |
| https://www.dropbox.com/sh/h265qp8auk6t8cu/AACnIABLmzF6NSFtBVO9SJi7a |
| Farmer Value Chain Assessment Tool, Nicaragua: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/3.1 |
| %20GUIA%20PARA%20REALIZACI%C3%93N%20DE%20GRUPOS%20FOCALES%20%2528MEJ |
| ORADA%2529.docx/478780456/3.1 |
| %20GUIA%20PARA%20REALIZACI%C3%93N%20DE%20GRUPOS%20FOCALES%20%2528MEJ |
| <u>ORADA%2529.docx</u> |
| Gendered benchmarking tools, Nicaragua: http://data.ilri.org/portal/dataset/adanicbaseline |
| Guidelines for site specific planning, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Monitoring learning and evaluation tool for Tanzania dairy value chain, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Project+Review+and+Planning%2C+and+Steering+Commi |
| ttee+Meeting%2C+March+17+%E2%80%93+19%2C+2014 |
| Monitoring survey for Tanzania dairy value chain, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Training need assessment for Tanzania dairy value chain, Tanzania: |
| http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Adapting dairy market hubs for pro-poor smallholder dairy value chains in Tanzania, |
| Tanzania: http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/Outputs+and+reports |
| Gender capacity assessment guide, Tanzania: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/capdev |
| Tools to measure Knowledge Attitude Practices Capacities and incentives of value chain |
| actors on bio-security for the control of African Swine Fever, Uganda: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda |
| Tools for a qualitative assessment of risk of African Swine Fever along the pig value chain, |
| Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda |
| Questionnaire to ascertain factors influencing successful inclusion of smallholder farmers in |
| inclusive value chains in the Lake Victoria basin, Uganda: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda |
| Baseline survey/tools for health risks in pig value chain in Nghe An province, Vietnam: |
| http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/Database VNpigproducers.xlsx |
| Tools for value chain assessment benchmarking for company pig producers, HH pig |
| producers, consumers, retailers, feed traders, Vietnam: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Vietnan |
| Value Chain Assessment questionnaire for pig traders, boar breeders, village veterinarians, |
| traders and input suppliers, Vietnam: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Vietnam |
| Tools for gender transformative analysis of the value chain, version 1.0, Global: |
| http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/Introduction%20to%20the%20gender%20VCA%20module.doc |
| x/513110212/ |
| |

| | | | | Value chain assessment and benchmarking toolkit, Global: http://livestock- | 1 |
|------------------------|--|------|---------|---|---|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VC_Toolkit | |
| | | | | Survey tools for assessing food demand and intra-household diets, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | Review of gender and value chain analysis, development and evaluation toolkits, Global: | |
| | | | | https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/35656 | |
| | | | | A Toolkit on Collecting Gender & Assets Data in Qualitative & Quantitative Program | |
| | | | | Evaluations, Global: http://gaap.ifpri.info/files/2010/12/GAAP_Toolkit_Update_FINAL.pdf | |
| | | | | Mini-survey tool for the rapid quantification of gender-differentiated food security | |
| | | | | indicators, Global: https://mahider.cgiar.org/handle/10568/56694 | |
| 6. % of tools assessed | | 27% | Not set | N = 12 (30%) | |
| for likely gender- | | 2770 | Not set | Tools for assessing women's ownership perceptions, Nicaragua: | |
| disaggregated impact | | | | https://www.dropbox.com/sh/h265qp8auk6t8cu/AACnIABLmzF6NSFtBVO9SJi7a | |
| uisaggregateu iiripact | | | | | |
| | | | | Farmer Value Chain Assessment Tool, Nicaragua: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/3.1 | |
| | | | | %20GUIA%20PARA%20REALIZACI%C3%93N%20DE%20GRUPOS%20FOCALES%20%2528MEJ | |
| | | | | ORADA%2529.docx/478780456/3.1 | |
| | | | | %20GUIA%20PARA%20REALIZACI%C3%93N%20DE%20GRUPOS%20FOCALES%20%2528MEJ | |
| | | | | <u>ORADA%2529.docx</u> | |
| | | | | Gendered benchmarking tools, Nicaragua: http://data.ilri.org/portal/dataset/adanicbaseline | |
| | | | | Tools for a qualitative assessment of risk of African Swine Fever along the pig value chain, | |
| | | | | Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | Questionnaire to ascertain factors influencing successful inclusion of smallholder farmers in | |
| | | | | inclusive value chains in the Lake Victoria basin, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | Baseline survey/tools for health risks in pig value chain in Nghe An province, Vietnam: | |
| | | | | http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/Database VNpigproducers.xlsx | |
| | | | | Tools for value chain assessment benchmarking for company pig producers, HH pig | |
| | | | | producers, consumers, retailers, feed traders, Vietnam: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Vietnan | |
| | | | | Tools for gender transformative analysis of the value chain, version 1.0, Global: | |
| | | | | http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/Introduction%20to%20the%20gender%20VCA%20module.doc | |
| | | | | x/513110212/ | |
| | | | | Value chain assessment and benchmarking toolkit, Global: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VC Toolkit | |
| | | | | Survey tools for assessing food demand and intra-household diets, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Uganda | |
| | | | | Review of gender and value chain analysis, development and evaluation toolkits, Global: | |
| | | | | https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/35656 | |
| | | | | A Toolkit on Collecting Gender & Assets Data in Qualitative & Quantitative Program | |
| | | | | Evaluations, Global: http://gaap.ifpri.info/files/2010/12/GAAP Toolkit Update FINAL.pdf | |
| | | | | Evaluations, Global. Inttp://gaap.npn.into/mes/2010/12/GAAF_100ikit_Opuate_FittAL.pdf | |
| | | | | | |

| 7. Number of open | | 5 | 6 | N = 7 |
|---------------------|--|---------|---------|---|
| access databases | | | | |
| maintained by CRP | | | | GIS layers MoreMilkiT scenarios: Spatial practicalities and implications for Tanzania dairy |
| | | | | value chain: http://ilri- |
| | | | | cleaned.wikispaces.com/file/view/GeoPortalPGISlayers.zip/539341912/GeoPortalPGISlayers. |
| | | | | zip |
| | | | | Animal Feeds Analysis Application: http://temp.icarda.org/afawa |
| | | | | SoFT Tropical Forage Selection: http://www.tropicalforages.info |
| | | | | DAGRIS (origin, distribution, diversity, present use and status of indigenous farm animal |
| | | | | genetic resources) |
| | | | | <u>dagris.info</u> |
| | | | | AZIZI Bio-repository |
| | | | | http://azizi.ilri.cgiar.org |
| | | | | Animal Genetic Training Resources |
| | | | | http://agtr.ilri.cgiar.org |
| | | | | TparvaDB: A database to support Theileria parva vaccine development: http://igs- |
| | | | | ilri.igs.umaryland.edu/ |
| | | | | http://data.ilri.org hosts data from various projects linked to the program, and from the |
| | | | | program itself, eg: |
| | | | | L&F 'benchmarking' survey small ruminants Ethiopia (locked) DGEA1 – baseline, longitudinal monitoring, animal performance (open) |
| | | | | ADA Nicaragua – baseline (locked) |
| | | | | SDG – baseline, longitudinal monitoring, WTP, etc. (locked) |
| | | | | Evaluation of Tz Dairy Development Forum – IP work for Jo's student (locked) |
| | | | | MilkIT project evaluation of IP – as above (open) |
| | | | | MorePork – nutrition study (locked) EADD2 – Cost of Production survey (locked) |
| | | | | ImGoats Mozambique – data used for gender analysis (open) |
| | | | | MoreMilkIT – monitoring surveys (locked) |
| | | | | https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/3112 lists all published information products of te |
| | | | | program, as well as of projects linked to it. |
| 8. Total number of | | 364,497 | Not set | n = 244,268 |
| users of these open | | | | |
| access databases | | | | 244,268 (Tropical Forage Selection) |

| 9. Number of publications in ISI journals produced by CRP | | | 77 | 57 | N = 47 (see List at end of this Annex) | |
|---|-----------------|------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| 10. Number of strategic value chains analyzed by CRP | | | 9 | 9 | N=14 (* = shared output with CRP A4NH) Bangladesh small and medium-scale aquaculture value chain development: Past trends, current status and likely future directions: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/41726 Chaines de valeur des petits ruminants au Burkina Faso: Analyse de situation: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/6361 Egypt small and medium-scale aquaculture value chain development: Past trends, current status and likely future directions: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/6341882 Vietnam: Smallholder pig value chain development in Vietnam: Situation analysis and trends: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/53935 Scoping study on pig value chains in Dak Lak and Dak Nong, Vietnam: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/67770 Dual-purpose milk and beef value chain development in Nicaragua: Past trends, current status and likely future directions: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/66467 Pre-commercial Tanzanian dairy value chains: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/66467 Pre-commercial Tanzanian dairy value chains: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/34851 Commercial Tanzanian dairy value chains in https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/34850 Quick assessment of Assam dairy value chain (India): https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/34850 Smallholder dairy value chain development in India and selected states (Assam and Bihar): Situation analysis and trends: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/34990 Smallholder pi | |
| CAPACITY ENHANCEME | NT AND INNOVATI | ON PLATFOR | | | | |
| 13. Number of trainees in short-term programs facilitated by CRP (male) | | | 3,756 | 5,976 | N = 5,339 (* = shared output with CRP A4NH) 57 Good management practices for ghers, homestead ponds and commercial ponds, Khulna Hub, Bangladesh http://www.bangladeshshomoy.com/archive2.php?id=16444&nid=22429&page=24&archive=2014-08-24 1,210 Business skills and management training for Bangladesh aquaculture value chain actors, Khulna Hub, Bangladesh: | |

| http://www.bangladeshshomoy.com/archive2.php?id=16444&nid=22429&page=24&archive |
|---|
| =2014-08-24 |
| 1,882 Aquaculture and hatchery Best Management Practices, Kafr el Sheikh, Behera, Sharkia, |
| Fayoum, El Mineya, Egypt: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf |
| 15 Integrating Feeding Strategies into the Community-based Sheep Breeding Program in |
| Ethiopia, Addis Ababa: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/Workshop+on+Integrating+Feeding+Strategies+into+the+Community- |
| based+Sheep+Breeding+Program+in+Ethiopia%2C+Addis+Ababa%2C+23-24+July%2C+2014 |
| 40 On the job training of NARS partners on mobile data collection for benchmarking, |
| Doyogana, Horro, Borana, Ethiopia: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Ethiopia |
| 3 Integrating Gender into Agricultural Programming, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: http://africa- |
| rising.wikispaces.com/Integrating+Gender+into+Agricultural+Programming |
| 17 Training on small Ruminants Reproduction, DebreBirhan, Ethiopia: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/Ethiopia-small+ruminant+reproduction |
| 664 Training on hygienic milk production and handling, Assam, India: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/56716/TrainingCourseReport- |
| MilkHygiene-Jorhat2014.pdf?sequence=1 |
| 37 Forage seed production, Madriz, Matagalpa, Jinotega, Nueva Segovia, Nicaragua: |
| http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Informe%20trimestral Julio- |
| Sept%202014.docx |
| 422 Farmer Field Schools on livestock-related best practices (silvo-pastoral systems, |
| Camoapa, Matiguás, Nicaragua: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/INFORME I |
| TRIMESTRE A%C3%91OS 2 HEIFER GANASOL5 30 sep.doc |
| 445 Sustainable livestock production, silvo-pastoral systems, ration balancing, forage |
| production, animal health, infrastructure and feeding to improve milk quality, Nicaragua: |
| http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Individual%20Semester%20Project%20Progress%20Report%2 |
| OJan%202015%20Sustainable%20Livestock-Nicaragua%20150115.docx |
| 4 Milk quality testing, Moshi, Tanzania: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/41594 |
| 3 Tanzania Dairy Development Forum partners' training in communications approaches and |
| tools, Nairobi, Kenya: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/05/26/ddf-comms/ |
| |
| 107 Dairy Innovation platform functioning, Tanga and Morogoro, Tanzania: |
| http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Tanga+Village+IPs and |
| http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Morogoro+Village+IPs |
| 133 Feeds & feeding, feed conservation; general animal husbandry of dairy cattle, Tanga and |
| Morogoro, Tanzania: http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Tanga+Village+IPs and |
| http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Morogoro+Village+IPs |
| 4 Tanzania Dairy Genetics enumerators; Household selection, Morogoro, Tanzania: |
| http://tdg.ilri.org/ |
| 14 Tanzania Dairy Genetics enumerators; Baseline survey, Morogoro, Tanzania: |
| http://tdg.ilri.org/ |
| 12 Tanzania Dairy Genetics enumerators; Blood sampling, Arusha, Tanzania: |
| http://tdg.ilri.org/ |

| | | | 8 Writeshop for pig value chain training module developers, Kampala, Uganda: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/04/03/capdev-uganda-pigs/ 23 Training-of-Trainers (Extension staff) on Delivery Methods for the Uganda Pig value chain, Kampala, Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/ugandaToT_ar2014 31 Test of training 4 pig training modules, Masaka and Kampala, Uganda: http://www.slideshare.net/iLRI/capacity-development-in-the-uganda-smallholder-pig-value-chain-development 20 Extrapolate Tool Workshop, Mukono, Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Elements%20for%20the%20EXTRAPOLATE%20application.pd f 99 Pig farmers training, Wakiso and Mukono, Uganda: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/02/19/uganda-pigs-profile/ and http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Report%20om%20Pig%20farmer%20training%202014.docx 2 Training on assessment of knowledge, practices and perception of Uganda pig Value Chain actors and stakeholders and recommendation for biosecurity protocols, Masaka, Uganda: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/10/21/pigs-asf/ 37 Training of facilitators in use of tablets in data entry for field survey, PRA tools and nutrition data collection, Hoima, Lira and Kampala, Uganda: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/09/22/uganda-nutrition-surveys and http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Facilitators%20training%20Report-%20Hoima.docx and http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/09/22/uganda-nutrition-surveys 15 Training in use of the FEAST Tool, Kampala, Uganda: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2015/01/28/feast-uganda/ 19 pig feeding using local feed resources, Masaka, Uganda: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2015/01/28/feast-uganda/ 19 pig feeding using local feed resources, Masaka, Uganda: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2015/01/28/feast-uganda/ 19 pig feeding using local feed resources, Masaka, Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/Final-Program+and+outputs%2C+SD+Training 10 Ration Balancing Program, Hyderabad, India: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/Final-Program+and |
|---|------|---------|--|
| 14. Number of trainees in short-term programs facilitated by CRP (female) | 1,37 | 1 5,666 | N = 1,883 (* = shared output with CRP A4NH) 69 Good management practices for ghers, homestead ponds and commercial ponds, Khulna, Bangladesh http://www.bangladeshshomoy.com/archive2.php?id=16444&nid=22429&page=24&archive =2014-08-24 10 Aquaculture and hatchery Best Management Practices, Kafr el Sheikh, Behera, Sharkia, Fayoum, El Mineya, Egypt: http://livestock- fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf 1,125 Capacity building for women retailers, Kafr el Sheikh, Behera, Sharkia, Fayoum, El Mineya, Egypt:http://livestock- fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf |

| A lateral time for all the Charles in the the Community has all the Day and th |
|--|
| 1 Integrating Feeding Strategies into the Community-based Sheep Breeding Program in |
| Ethiopia, Addis Ababa: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/Workshop+on+Integrating+Feeding+Strategies+into+the+Community- |
| based+Sheep+Breeding+Program+in+Ethiopia%2C+Addis+Ababa%2C+23-24+July%2C+2014 |
| 2 On-the-job training of NARS partners on mobile data collection for benchmarking, |
| Doyogana, Horro, Borana, Ethiopia: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/VCD+Ethiopia |
| 1 Training on Small Ruminants Reproduction, DebreBirhan, Ethiopia: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/Ethiopia-small+ruminant+reproduction |
| 98 Training on hygienic milk production and handling, Assam, India: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/56716/TrainingCourseReport- |
| MilkHygiene-Jorhat2014.pdf?sequence=1 |
| 20 Dairy processing, Waslala, Yali, Nicaragua: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Informe%20trimestral_Julio-Sept%202014.docxc |
| 22 Good practices in milk processing, Matagalpa, Nicaragua: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Informe%20trimestral Julio-Sept%202014.docx |
| 3 Forage seed production, Madriz, Matagalpa, Jinotega, Nueva Segovia, Nicaragua: |
| http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Informe%20trimestral Julio- |
| <u>Sept%202014.docx</u> |
| 78 Farmer Field Schools on livestock related best practices (silvo-pastoral systems, Camoapa, |
| Matiguás, Nicaragua: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/INFORME TRIMESTRE |
| A%C3%91OS 2 HEIFER GANASOL5 30 sep.doc |
| 75 Sustainable livestock production, silvo-pastoral systems, ration balancing, forage |
| production, animal health, infrastructure and feeding to improve milk quality, Nicaragua: |
| http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Individual%20Semester%20Project%20Progress%20Report%2 |
| 0Jan%202015%20Sustainable%20Livestock-Nicaragua%20150115.docx |
| 3 Milk quality testing, Moshi, Tanzania: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/41594 |
| 2 Tanzania Dairy Development Forum partners' training in communications approaches and |
| tools, Nairobi, Kenya: http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/05/26/ddf-comms/ |
| 72 Innovation platform functioning, Tanga and Morogoro, Tanzania: |
| http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Tanga+Village+IPs and |
| http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Morogoro+Village+IPs |
| 86 Feeds & feeding, feed conservation; general animal husbandry of dairy cattle, Tanga and |
| Morogoro, Tanzania: http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Tanga+Village+IPs and |
| http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Morogoro+Village+IPs |
| 3 Tanzania Dairy Genetics enumerators - Household selection, Morogoro, Tanzania: |
| http://tdg.ilri.org/ |
| 7 Tanzania Dairy Genetics enumerators - Baseline survey, Morogoro, Tanzania: |
| http://tdg.ilri.org/ |
| 6 Tanzania Dairy Genetics enumerators - Blood sampling, Arusha, Tanzania: |
| http://tdg.ilri.org/ |
| 5 Writeshop for training pig value chain module developers, Kampala, Uganda: |
| http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/04/03/capdev-uganda-pigs/ |
| 9 Training-of-Trainers (Extension staff) on Delivery Methods for the Uganda Pig value chain, |
| and the spanner of th |

| | | | Kampala, Uganda: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/ugandaToT_apr2014 | |
|------------------------|----|-----|--|--|
| | | | 9 Test of 4 pig training modules, Masaka and Kampala, Uganda: | |
| | | | http://www.slideshare.net/ILRI/capacity-development-in-the-uganda-smallholder-pig-value- | |
| | | | chain-development | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 61 Pig farmers training, Wakiso and Mukono, Uganda: | |
| | | | http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/02/19/uganda-pigs-profile/ and http://livestock- | |
| | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Report%20on%20Pig%20farmer%20training%202014.docx | |
| | | | 9 Extrapolate Tool Workshop, Mukono, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Elements%20for%20the%20EXTRAPOLATE%20application.pd | |
| | | | 2 Training on assessment of knowledge, practices and perception of Uganda pig Value Chain | |
| | | | actors and stakeholders and recommendation for biosecurity protocols, Masaka, Uganda: | |
| | | | http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/10/21/pigs-asf/ | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 24 Training of facilitators in use of tablets in data entry for field survey, PRA tools and | |
| | | | nutrition data collection, Hoima, Lira and Kampala, Uganda: | |
| | | | http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/09/22/uganda-nutrition-surveys and http://livestock- | |
| | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Facilitators%20training%20Report-%20Hoima.docx and | |
| | | | http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/09/22/uganda-nutrition-surveys | |
| | | | 3 Training in use of the FEAST Tool, Kampala, Uganda: | |
| | | | http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2015/01/28/feast-uganda/ | |
| | | | 1 Bio-informatics training, Nairobi, Kenya: | |
| | | | http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2014/09/12/ugandan-pig-disease-researcher-joyce-akol- | |
| | | | receives-bioinformatics-training/ | |
| | | | 69 pig feeding using local feed resources, Masaka, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/Report+on+the+SPVCD+Project+Pig+Feeding+Training+and+Fe | |
| | | | edback+Workshop.doc/542215948/Report%20on%20the%20SPVCD%20Project%20Pig%20F | |
| | | | eeding%20Training%20and%20Feedback%20Workshop.doc | |
| | | | * 6 Training on System Dynamics model building for value chain transformation, Hanoi, | |
| | | | Vietnam: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/Final+Program+and+outputs%2C+SD+Training | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 2 Ration Balancing Program, Hyderabad, India: | |
| | | | http://ilrihyd.wikispaces.com/Training+on+Ration+Balancing+Program+%28RBP%29+2014 | |
| | | | | |
| 15. Number of trainees | 24 | 7 | N = 50 (of which, 11 Bachelor and 4 Masters candidates shared with CRP A4NH) | |
| in long-term programs | | | | |
| facilitated by CRP | | | 13 Bachelors Program | |
| (male) | | | 22 Masters Program | |
| () | | | 8 PhD | |
| | | | 3 Post Doctoral | |
| | | | 2 Fellowship | |
| | | | ' | |
| 4611 1 6: 1 | | 4.0 | 2 Other | |
| 16.Number of trainees | 21 | 10 | N = 54 (of which, 12 Bachelor, 1 Masters and 1 PhD candidates shared with CRP A4NH) | |
| in long-term programs | | | | |
| facilitated by CRP | | | 16 Bachelors Program | |

| (female) | | | | 15 Masters Program | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|----|---|--|
| , | | | | 22 PhD | |
| | | | | 1 Fellowship | |
| TECHNOLOGIES/PRACTICE | S IN VARIOUS STAGES OF D | EVELOPMENT | | | |
| 18. Number of | | 44 | 32 | N = 22 | |
| technologies/NRM | | | | Biological | |
| practices under | | | | Morphometry, breeding and larval development of Mola, (Amblypharyngodon mola) | |
| research in the CRP | | | | (Hamilton, 1822), Bangladesh; http://www.worldfishcenter.org/resource_centre/Progress- | |
| (Phase I) | | | | Report-on-mola-research-Manos.pdf | |
| | | | | Breeding tengra (Batasio batasio) and mullet (Lisa family) fish species, Bangladesh: | |
| | | | | http://www.alokitobangladesh.com/last-page/2014/03/31/62915 | |
| | | | | Tilapia breeding program using mass selection to improve growth while preventing high | |
| | | | | levels of inbreeding, Bangladesh: http://www.thefishsite.com/articles/1885/progress-and- | |
| | | | | the-future-for-tilapia-farming-and-seed-production-in-bangladesh | |
| | | | | Pro-poor fish production, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Final%20report%20-%20Developing%20a%20pro- | |
| | | | | poor%20aquaculture%20tank Jacqueline%20Kazembe%20%283%29.doc | |
| | | | | Biological Nitrification Inhibition, Colombia and Nicaragua: | |
| | | | | https://www.dropbox.com/s/xiqv3hv6zskmqf9/Output%205%20-%20Bi- | |
| | | | | parental%20B Jacobo%20BNI.pptx?dl=0 and | |
| | | | | http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/BMZ-GIZ-BNI-Project%20Report- | |
| | | | | <u>Year%203%20%282015%29%20final.docx</u> | |
| | | | | Brachiaria hybrid breeding, Colombia: | |
| | | | | https://www.dropbox.com/s/qhfl1nmh1f1sjiq/Output%201%20- | |
| | | | | <u>%20BR12_internalreport.xlsx?dl=0</u> | |
| | | | | Genetic improvement of dual-purpose cattle, Nicaragua: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail /ADA-Report-2013-fin.pdf and http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/EFS30-TR-15-06-2014.pdf and http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/ADA-Better%20Breeds%20Report-%202014.pdf | |
| | | | | Feed technologies for improving dry-season feed reserves, Tanzania: | |
| | | | | http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Feed+interventions | |
| | | | | Improved forage cultivars for zero-grazing), Tanzania: | |
| | | | | http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Feed+interventions | |
| | | | | Hay + silage making, Tanzania: http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Feed+interventions | |
| | | | | Feeding strategies for different pig genotypes, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Report%20on%20the%20SPVCD%20Project%20Pig%20Feedin | |
| | | | | g%20Training%20and%20Feedback%20Workshop.doc | |
| | | | | Pig waste management at the slaughter node, Uganda: | |
| | | | | http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2015/03/10/biogas-kampala/ | |
| | | | | Management and Cultimat | |
| | | | | Management and Cultural | |
| | | | | Women fish retailers approaches, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Women%20retailers%20documentation%20report.pdf | |
| | | | | Pro-poor fish production - multiple crops of small fish, Egypt: http://livestock- | |

| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf | |
|-------------------------|--|------|---------|--|--|
| | | | | Silvo-pastoral "best practices, Nicaragua: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Individual Semester Project Progress Report Jun 2014 | |
| | | | | Sustainable Livestock-Nicaragua 060714.docx | |
| | | | | Carbon insetting incentive mechanisms, Nicaragua: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Carbon Insetting CIAT small grant proposal.pdf and | |
| | | | | http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/GIZ%20Carbon%20Insetting%20Progress%20report%20Feb% | |
| | | | | <u>202015.pdf</u> | |
| | | | | Forage quality by season and maturity stage, Nicaragua: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/FY2014%20Annual%20Report%20Linkage%20Program%20Re | |
| | | | | porting%20Template%20Nicaragua-UF-MSSTATE-CIAT.docx | |
| | | | | Dairy market hubs revolving around chilling plants that provide both outputs marketing and | |
| | | | | inputs and services through check-off procedure, Tanzania: | |
| | | | | http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/ | |
| | | | | Dairy market hubs revolving around check-offs for inputs and services provided through milk | |
| | | | | traders, Tanzania: http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/ | |
| | | | | National innovation platform (Dairy Development Forum, Tanzania: | |
| | | | | http://ddftz.wikispaces.com | |
| | | | | Local area innovation platforms (regional and villages), Tanzania: | |
| | | | | http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Innovation+platforms | |
| | | | | Assessing designs for a pig slaughter house in Masaka, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/MASAKA%20ABATTOIR%20Report.pdf/542219212/MASAKA% | |
| | | | | 20ABATTOIR%20Report.pdf | |
| 19. % of technologies | | 18% | Not set | N = 12 (60%) | |
| under research that | | 1070 | NOT SET | Biological | |
| have an explicit target | | | | Genetic improvement of dual-purpose cattle, Nicaragua: http://livestock- | |
| of women farmers | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail /ADA-Report-2013-fin.pdf and http://livestock- | |
| of women farmers | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/EFS30-TR-15-06-2014.pdf | |
| | | | | and http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/ADA-Better%20Breeds%20Report- | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | %202014.pdf | |
| | | | | Feed technologies for improving dry-season feed reserves, Tanzania: | |
| | | | | http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Feed+interventions | |
| | | | | Improved forage cultivars for zero-grazing), Tanzania: | |
| | | | | http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Feed+interventions | |
| | | | | Hay + silage making, Tanzania: http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Feed+interventions | |
| | | | | Feeding strategies for different pig genotypes, Uganda: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Report%20on%20the%20SPVCD%20Project%20Pig%20Feedin | |
| | | | | g%20Training%20and%20Feedback%20Workshop.doc | |
| | | | | Management and Cultural | |
| | | | | Management and Cultural Woman fish retailers approaches Frunt: http://livestack | |
| | | | | Women fish retailers approaches, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Women%20retailers%20documentation%20report.pdf Silvo-pastoral "best practices, Nicaragua: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Individual Semester Project Progress Report Jun 2014 Sustainable Livestock-Nicaragua 060714.docx Carbon insetting incentive mechanisms, Nicaragua: http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Carbon Insetting CIAT small grant proposal.pdf and http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/GIZ%20Carbon%20Insetting%20Progress%20report%20Feb%202015.pdf | |
|---|--|----|---------|--|--|
| | | | | Dairy market hubs revolving around chilling plants that provide both outputs marketing and inputs and services through check-off procedure, Tanzania: http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/ Dairy market hubs revolving around check-offs for inputs and services provided through milk | |
| | | | | traders, Tanzania: http://moremilkit.wikispaces.com/ National innovation platform (Dairy Development Forum, Tanzania: http://ddftz.wikispaces.com Local area innovation platforms (regional and villages), Tanzania: http://milkit.wikispaces.com/Innovation+platforms | |
| | | | | Tittp://Tillikit.wikispaces.com/Tilliovation+platforms | |
| 20. % of technologies under research that have been assessed for likely gender-disaggregated impact | | 9% | Not set | N = 0 (0 %) | |
| 23. Number of | | 25 | 16 | N = 22 | |
| technologies /NRM practices field tested | | | | Tengra fish seed distributed for hatcheries and farmers, Khulna hub, S-W Bangladesh: | |
| (phase II) | | | | http://www.risingbd.com/detailsnews.php?nssl=43270 | |
| | | | | Tilapia seed broods, Khulna hub, S-W Bangladesh: | |
| | | | | http://www.thefishsite.com/articles/1885/progress-and-the-future-for-tilapia-farming-and-seed-production-in-bangladesh | |
| | | | | Dissemination of improved strains, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf | |
| | | | | Best Aquaculture Management Practices, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf | |
| | | | | Interventions to support pilot-scale women retailer groups, El Fayoum, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Women%20retailers%20documentation%20report.pdf | |
| | | | | Interventions to support new fish farms in El Mineya, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf | |
| | | | | Sheep breeding programs at 2 new sites, Ethiopia: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/view/bestbet_CBBP_implementation.docx/541794826/bestbet_CBBP_implementation.docx | |
| | | | | Training and formalising the informal dairy sector, Assam, India: | |
| | | | | http://www.ilri.org/ilrinews/index.php/archives/tag/assam-directorate-of-dairy- | |

| development |
|--|
| Managing mastitis and increased productivity, Assam, India: |
| http://www.ilri.org/ilrinews/index.php/archives/tag/assam-directorate-of-dairy- |
| <u>development</u> |
| Balance concentrate feeding for dairy, Bihar, India: http://ilri- |
| ple.wikispaces.com/file/view/Self%20prepared%20balanced%20concentrate%20feed%20lea |
| flet english%20%282%29.pdf/545092620/Self%20prepared%20balanced%20concentrate%2 |
| Ofeed%20leaflet_english%20%282%29.pdf |
| Efficient use of maize stover for dairy, Bihar, India: http://ilri- |
| ple.wikispaces.com/file/view/Urea%20treated%20maize%20stover%20for%20dairy%20anim |
| als_english%20%282%29.pdf/545092422/Urea%20treated%20maize%20stover%20for%20d |
| airy%20animals_english%20%282%29.pdf |
| Mineral mixture feeding practices for dairy, Bihar, India: http://ilri- |
| ple.wikispaces.com/file/view/Mineral%20mixture%20leaflet_english.pdf/544979142/Minera |
| l%20mixture%20leaflet_english.pdf |
| Dual purpose food feed crops, Uttarakhand and Nagaland, India: |
| http://www.himmotthan.in/UserFiles/files/green fodder from dual purpose wheat resea |
| rch 5 3 15.pdf |
| Production of nutritionally balanced feeds, Uttarakhand and Nagaland, India: |
| http://www.himmotthan.in/UserFiles/files/feasibility study of mini feed mixing unit at c |
| hirag report 5 3 15.pdf |
| Prevention and control of classical swine fever, Uttarakhand and Nagaland, India: |
| http://www.ilri.org/node/33212 |
| Biological Nitrification Inhibition with B. humidicola, Camoapa, Nueva Guinea, Nicaragua: |
| http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/BMZ-GIZ-BNI-Project%20Report- |
| Year%203%20%282015%29%20final.docx.AND http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/BMZ-GIZ-BNI-Project%20Report- |
| Year%202%20%282014%29%20final%20RvdH.docx. |
| Silvo-pastoral practices related to sustainable livestock production and carbon insetting, |
| Camoapa, Matiguás, Nicaragua: http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Individual%20Semester%20Project%20Progress%20Report%2 |
| OJan% |
| Training and certification of informal milk traders, Arusha and Mwanza, Tanzania: |
| http://www.ifama.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageID=3349 |
| Feeding strategies for different pig genotypes (local vs improved breeds, Uganda: |
| http://livestock- |
| fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Report%20on%20the%20SPVCD%20Project%20Pig%20Feedin |
| g%20Training%20and%20Feedback%20Workshop.doc |
| Pig waste management at the slaughter node, Uganda: |
| http://livestockfish.cgiar.org/2015/03/10/biogas-kampala/ |
| Improved biosecurity protocols for control of African Swine Fever, Uganda: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/56789/manual14.pdf?sequence=1 |
| Improved deworming and hygiene practices for parasite control, Uganda: |
| https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/64960 |
| |

| 27. Number of | | | 4 | 11 | N = 7 | |
|---|-----------------|-------------|--------|----|--|--|
| technologies/NRM | | | | | | |
| practices released by | | | | | Dissemination of the Abbassa Improved Strain of Nile tilapia, Kafr el Sheikh, Behera, Sharkia, | |
| public and private | | | | | Fayoum, El Mineya, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| sector partners | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf | |
| globally (phase III) | | | | | Tilapia seed broods distributed to hatcheries, Khulna hub, S-W Bangladesh: | |
| | | | | | http://www.thefishsite.com/articles/1885/progress-and-the-future-for-tilapia-farming-and- | |
| | | | | | seed-production-in-bangladesh | |
| | | | | | Tengra fish seed distributed for hatcheries and farmers, Khulna Hub, S-W Bangladesh: | |
| | | | | | http://www.risingbd.com/detailsnews.php?nssl=43270 | |
| | | | | | Best Management Practice training for fish farmers and hatcheries, Kafr el Sheikh, Behera, | |
| | | | | | Sharkia, Fayoum, El Mineya, Egypt: http://livestock- | |
| | | | | | fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf | |
| | | | | | Training and certification of informal milk traders, Arusha and Mwanza, Tanzania: | |
| | | | | | http://www.ifama.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageID=3349 | |
| | | | | | Managing mastitis and increased productivity, Assam, India: | |
| | | | | | http://www.ilri.org/ilrinews/index.php/archives/tag/assam-directorate-of-dairy- | |
| | | | | | development | |
| | | | | | Training and formalising the informal dairy sector, Assam, India: | |
| | | | | | http://www.ilri.org/ilrinews/index.php/archives/tag/assam-directorate-of-dairy- | |
| | | | | | development | |
| | | | | | <u>development</u> | |
| POLICIES | IN VARIOUS STAG | ES OF DEVEL | OPMENT | | | |
| 28. Numbers of | | | 2 | 4 | N = | |
| Policies/ Regulations/ | | | | | | |
| Administrative | | | | | | |
| Procedures | | | | | | |
| Analyzed (Stage 1) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 2 | 0 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies | | | 2 | 0 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / | | | 2 | 0 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative | | | 2 | 0 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted | | | 2 | 0 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for | | | 2 | 0 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder | | | 2 | 0 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) | | | | · | | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies | | | 0 | 5 | N = N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies / regulations / | | | | · | | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies / regulations / administrative | | | | · | | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures presented | | | | · | | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures presented for legislation(Stage 3) | | | 0 | 5 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures presented for legislation(Stage 3) 31. Number of policies | | | | · | | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures presented for legislation(Stage 3) 31. Number of policies / regulations / | | | 0 | 5 | N = | |
| 29. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation (Stage 2) 30. Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures presented for legislation(Stage 3) 31. Number of policies | | | 0 | 5 | N = | |

| passed/approved | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|---------|-----------|---|--|
| (Stage 4) | | | | | |
| 32. Number of policies | | 1 | 0 | N = | |
| / regulations / | | | | | |
| administrative | | | | | |
| procedures passed for | | | | | |
| which implementation | | | | | |
| has begun (Stage 5) | | | | | |
| OUTCOMES ON THE GRO | DUND | | | | |
| 33. Number of | | 331,070 | n = | N = 112,882 hectares (continuing) and 40,347 hectares (new areas) and 479,000 hectares not | |
| hectares under | | | 232,148ha | categorized. | |
| improved technologies | | | (162,352 | | |
| or management | | | ha new + | 12,000 hectares (continuing areas) and 6, 000 hectares (new areas) under best management | |
| practices as a result of | | | 69,796 ha | practices for aquaculture, Kafr el Sheikh, Behera, Sharkia, Fayoum, El Mineya, Egypt: | |
| CRP research | | | continued | http://livestock-fish.wikispaces.com/file/detail/Third%20Operational%20Report-final.pdf | |
| | | |) | 34,347 Hectares (new areas) under improved fish husbandry, Khulna Hub, Southwestern | |
| | | | | Bangladesh: http://worldfishcenter.org/content/training-helps-bangladeshi-families-grow- | |
| | | | | more-fish-vegetables | |
| | | | | 100,882 hectares (continuing areas) under improved fish seed, Khulna Hub, south western | |
| | | | | Bangladesh: http://worldfishcenter.org/content/training-helps-bangladeshi-families-grow- | |
| | | | | more-fish-vegetables | |
| | | | | 479,000 hectares (new and continuing) under Brachiaria hybrids globally: Royalty reports to | |
| | | | | CIAT (est. at rate of 7kg/ha sowing rate) | |
| 34. Number of farmers | | 2,471 | n = 2,040 | N = 70, 818 female farmers and 417,538 male farmers | |
| and others who have | | | (1,520 | | |
| applied new | | | male + | 45,038 female and 43,309 male farmers using improved fish husbandry, Southwestern | |
| technologies or | | | 520 | Bangladesh: http://worldfishcenter.org/content/training-helps-bangladeshi-families-grow- | |
| management practices | | | female) | more-fish-vegetables | |
| as a result of CRP | | | | 25,780 female and 374,229 male farmers using improved fish seed, Khulna Hub, south | |
| research | | | | western Bangladesh: http://worldfishcenter.org/content/training-helps-bangladeshi- | |
| | | | | <u>families-grow-more-fish-vegetables</u> | |

Annex Table 1A: List of publications in ISI journals, supplement to Indictor 9.

| Title | Year of | Authors | Journal Name | URL | Flagship | Value Chain |
|---|------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Pub. | | | | | |
| Beyond Net Deficits: New Priorities for an Aquacultural Geography | 2014 | Ben Belton and Simon R. Bush | Geographical Journal | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/65128 | Value Chain Development | Bangladesh Value Chain |
| Enhancing farming system water productivity through alternative land use and water management in vertisol areas of Ethiopian Blue Nile Basin (Abay) | 2014 | T. Erkossa, A. Haileslassie, C. MacAlister | Agricultural Water Management | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/34107 | Feeds & Forages | |
| Farm-scale trade-offs between legume use as forage vs. green manure: The case of <i>Canavalia brasiliensis</i> | 2014 | Douxchamps, S., I. M. Rao, M. Peters, R. van der Hoek, A. Schmidt, S. Martens, J. Polania, M. Mena, C. Binder, R. Scholl, A. Mosimann, F. Holman, M. Quintero, M. Kreuzer, E. Frossard and A. Oberson | Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42160 | Feeds & Forages | |
| Livestock water productivity: feed resourcing, feeding and coupled feed-water resource data bases | 2014 | Michael Blümmel, Amare Haileslassie, Anandan Samireddypalle, Vincent Vadez and An Notenbaert | Animal Production Science | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42266 | Feeds & Forages | |
| Efficiency of selection for body weight in a cooperative village breeding program of Menz sheep under smallholder farming system | 2014 | S. Gizaw, T. Getachew, S. Goshme, A. Valle-Zárate, J. A. M. van Arendonk, S. Kemp, A. O. Mwai and T. Dessie | Animal, | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67367 | Genetics | Ethiopia value chain |
| Waterlogging-induced changes in root architecture of germplasm accessions of the tropical forage grass, <i>Brachiaria humidicola</i> | 2014 | Cardoso, J. A., J. C. Jimenez and I. M. Rao | AOB PLANTS | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42286 | Feeds & Forages | |
| Technical characteristics and economic performance of commercial tilapia hatcheries applying different management systems in Egypt | 2014 | Ahmed Mohamed Nasr-Allah, Malcolm William Dickson, Diaa Abdel Reheem Al-Kenawy, Mohamed Fathi Mohamed Ahmed, Gamal Othman El-Naggar | Aquaculture | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56963 | Value Chain Development | Egypt Value Chain |
| TREC-IN: gene knock-in genetic tool for genomes cloned in yeast | 2014 | Suchismita Chandran, Vladimir Noskov, Thomas H. Segall-Shapiro, Li Ma, Caitlin Whiteis, Carole Lartigue, Joerg Jores, Sanjay Vashee, Ray-Yuan Chuang | BMC Genomics | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67384 | Animal Health | |
| Beyond Tariffs: The Role of Non-Tariff Barriers in Dairy Trade in the East African Community Free Trade Area | 2014 | Gelan, A and Omore, A. | Development Policy Review | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42174 | Value Chain Development | Tanzania Value Chain |
| Antibodies against MERS Coronavirus in Dromedary Camels, Kenya, 1992–2013 | 2014 | Victor Max Corman, Joerg Jores, Benjamin Meyer, Mario Younan, Anne Liljander, Mohammed Yahya Said, Ilona Gluecks, Erik Lattwein, Berend- Jan Bosch, Jan Felix Drexler, Set Bornstein, Christian Drosten, Marcel A. | Emerging Infectious Diseases | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/43743 | Animal Health | |

| | | Müller | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Reducing uncertainty in nitrogen budgets for African livestock systems | 2014 | M C Rufino, P Brandt, M Herrero and K Butterbach-Bahl | Environmental Research Letters | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/51797 | Targeting Sustainable interventions | |
| Genome-wide analysis reveals the ancient and recent admixture history of East African Shorthorn Zebu from Western Kenya | 2014 | Mbole-Kariuki, M.N., Sonstegard, T., Orth, A., Thumbi, S.M., Bronsvoort, B.M. de C., Kiara, H., Toye, P.G., Conradie, I., Jennings, A., Coetzer, K., Woolhouse, M.E.J., Hanotte, O., Tapio, M | Heredity | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/35610 | Genetics | |
| Breeding programmes for smallholder sheep farming systems: II. Optimization of cooperative village breeding schemes | 2014 | Gizaw, S., van Arendonk, J.A., Valle- Zárate, A., Haile, A., Rischkowsky, B., Dessie, T., Mwai. A.O. | J Anim Breed Genet | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67387 | Genetics | Ethiopia Value Chain |
| Breeding programs for smallholder sheep farming systems: I. Evaluation of alternative designs of breeding schemes | 2014 | Gizaw, S., Rischkowsky, B., Valle- Zárate, A, Haile, A., van Arendonk, J.A.M., Mwai, A. O., Dessie, T. | J Anim Breed Genet | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67393 | Genetics | Ethiopia Value Chain |
| A longitudinal assessment of the serological response to Theileria parva and other tick-borne parasites from birth to one year in a cohort of indigenous calves in western Kenya | 2014 | Amy Jennings, Henry Kiara, Phil Toye, Mark Bronsvoort, Ian Handle, Jane Pole, Mark Woolhouse, Ilana Conradie, Olivier Hanotte, Mary Ndila | Parasitology | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67394 | Animal Health | |
| Assessing the resistance of Brachiaria hybrids to pathogenic Rhizoctonia | 2014 | Alvarez, E., Latorre, M., Bonilla, X., Sotelo, G., and Miles, J. W. | Plant Disease | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/35017 | Feeds & Forages | |
| Parasite co-infections and their impact on survival of indigenous cattle. | 2014 | Thumbi SM, Bronsvoort BM, Poole EJ, Kiara H, Toye PG, Mbole-Kariuki MN, Conradie I, Jennings A, Handel IG, Coetzer JA, Steyl JC, Hanotte O, Woolhouse ME. | PLOS ONE | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67377 | Animal Health | |
| Control of Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia: Knowledge, attitudes, perceptions and practices in Narok District of Kenya | 2014 | Kairu-Wanyoike, S.W., Kiara, H., Heffernan, C., Kaitibie, S., Gitau, G.K.,McKeever, D., Taylor, N.M. | Preventive Veterinary Medicine | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/35358 | Genetics | |
| Willingness to pay for Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia vaccination in Narok, South District of Kenya | 2014 | Kairu-Wanyoike, S.W., Kaitibie, S., Heffernan, C., Taylor, N.M., Gitau, G.K., Kiara, H., McKeever, D | Preventive Veterinary Medicine | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/35356 | Animal Health | |
| Participatory assessment of animal health and husbandry practices in smallholder pig production systems in three high poverty districts of Uganda. | 2014 | M.M. Dione, Emily A. Ouma, Kristina Roesel, Joseph Kungu, Peter Lule and Danilo Pezo (2014 | Preventive Veterinary Medicine | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/51612 | Value Chain Development | Uganda Value Chain |
| Price and quality of livestock feeds in peri-urban markets in the West Africa Sahel | 2014 | A.A. Ayantunde, M. Blümmel, E. Grings and A.J. Duncan | Revue d'elevage et de medicine veterinaire des pays tropicaux | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56746 | Feeds & Forages | |

| Effect of feeding differently processed sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) bagasse based complete diet on nutrient utilization and microbial N supply in growing ram lambs | 2014 | N. Nalini Kumaria, Y. Ramana Reddy, M. Blummel, D. Nagalakshmi,T. Monika, B.V.S. Reddy, A. Ashok Kumar | Small Ruminant Research | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/34421 | Feeds & Forages | |
|--|------|---|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Feasibility of pedigree recording and genetic selection in village sheep flocks of smallholder farmers | 2014 | Gizaw, S., Goshme, S., Getachew, T., Haile, A., Rischkowsky, B., van Arendonk, J.A., Valle-Zárate, A., Dessie, T., Mwai, A.O | Tropical Animal Health and Production | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67379 | Genetics | Ethiopia Value Chain |
| Fatty acid content, health and risk indices, physicochemical composition, and somatic cell counts of milk from organic and conventional farming systems in tropical south-eastern Mexico | 2014 | Claudia Delgadillo-Puga, | Tropical Animal Health and Production | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42304 | Feeds & Forages | |
| Characterization of the in vitro core surface proteome of <i>Mycoplasma mycoides subsp. mycoides</i> , the causative agent of contagious bovine pleuropneumonia. | 2014 | Krasteva I, Liljander A, Fischer A, Smith DG, Inglis NF, et al. | Veterinary Microbiology | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/34406 | Animal Health | |
| Use of "one-pot, mix-and-read" peptide-MHC class I tetramers and predictive algorithms to improve detection of cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses in cattle | 2014 | Svitek N, Hansen AM, Steinaa L, Saya R, Awino E, Nielsen M., Buus S., Nene V | Veterinary Research | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/41612 | Animal Health | |
| Is Aquaculture Pro-Poor? Empirical Evidence of Impact on Fish Consumption from Bangladesh | 2014 | Kazi Ali Toufique and Ben Belton | World Development | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/41925 | Value Chain Development | Bangladesh Value Chain |
| Development and Testing of a Field Diagnostic Assay for <i>Peste des Petits Ruminants</i> Virus. | 2014 | J. Baron, E. Fishbourne, E. Couacy- Hyman, M. Abubakar, B. A. Jones, L. Frost, R. Herbert, T. R. Chibssa, G. van't Klooster, M. Afzal, C. Ayebazibwe, P. Toye, J. Bashiruddin and M. D. Baron. 2014. | Transboundary and Emerging Diseases | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/67396 | Animal Health | |
| Identification and Sequence Characterization of novel <i>Theileria</i> genotypes from the Waterbuck (<i>Kobus defassa</i>) in a <i>Theileria parva</i> -endemic area in Kenya. | 2014 | Githaka, N., Konnai, S, Bishop, R., Odongo, D., Lekolool, I., Kariuki, E., Gakuya., S, Kamau, L., Isezaki, M., Murat,S.and Ohashi, K. | Veterinary Parasitology | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/35658 | Animal Health | |
| Characterizing feeds and feed availability in Sud- Kivu province, DR Congo. | 2014 | Bacigale, Samy B.; Paul, Birthe K.; Muhimuzi-Lwaboshi, Fabrice; Mapenzi, Neville; Peters, Michael; Maass, Brigitte, L | Tropical Grasslands - Forrajes Tropicales | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42319 | Feeds & Forages | |
| Adaptive responses of Brachiaria grasses to hypoxia stress. | 2014 | Cardoso, Juan Andrés; Jiménez, Juan; Ricón, Joisse; Rao, Idupulapati Madhusudana | Tropical Grasslands - Forrajes Tropicales | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56798 | Feeds & Forages | |

| Comparative study of the reproductive performance and White Spot Syndrome Virus (WSSV) status of black tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) collected from the Bay of Bengal. | 2014 | Debnath, P., Karim, M., Belton, B. | Aquaculture | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/65117 | Value Chain Development |
|---|------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Climate-smart Brachiaria grasses for improving livestock production in East Africa. | 2014 | Djikeng, A., Rao, I.M., Njarui, D., Mutimura, M., Caradus, J., Ghimire, S.R., Johnson, L., Cardoso, J.A., Ahonsi, M. and Kelemu, S. | Tropical Grasslands - Forrajes Tropicales | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/41580 | Feeds & Forages |
| Genetic parameters and correlated responses in female reproductive traits in the GIFT strain. | 2014 | Hamzah, A., Nguyen Hong Nguyen, Mekkawy, W., Hooi Ling Khaw, Hoong Yip Yee, Abu Bakar, K.R., Ponzoni, R. W. and Mohd Nor, S.A. | Aquaculture Research | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56952 | Genetics |
| Flesh characteristics: Estimation of genetic parameters and correlated responses to selection for growth rate in the GIFT strain. | 2014 | Hamzah, A., Nguyen Hong Nguyen, Mekkawy, W., Ponzoni, R.W., Hooi Ling Khaw, Hoong Yip Yee, Abu Bakar, K.R. and Mohd Nor, S.A. | Aquaculture Research | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/65125 | Genetics |
| Climate change mitigation through livestock system transitions | 2014 | Havlík, P., Valin, H., Herrero, M., Obersteiner, M., Schmid, E., Rufino, M.C., Mosnier, A., Thornton, P.K., Böttcher, H., Conant, R.T., Frank, S., Fritz, S., Fuss, S., Kraxner, F. and Notenbaert, A. | PNAS | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/35050 | Targeting Sustainable interventions |
| Indirect genetic effects and inbreeding: Consequences of BLUP selection for socially affected traits on rate of inbreeding. | 2014 | Hooi Ling Khaw, Ponzoni, R.W. and Bijma, P. | Genetics Selection Evolution | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56953 | Genetics |
| What shapes food value chains? Lessons from aquaculture in Asia | 2014 | Jespersen, K.S., Kelling, I., Ponte, S. and Kruijssen, F. | Food Policy | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/65123 | Value Chain Development |
| Agro-ecological adaptation and participatory evaluation of multipurpose tree and shrub legumes in mid altitudes of Sud-Kivu, D.R. Congo. | 2014 | Katunga Musale, Dieudonné; Muhigwa, B.J.B.; Kashala, KJ.C.; Kambuyi, M.; Nyongombe, N.; Maass, Brigitte L.; Peters, Michael. | American Journal of Plant Sciences | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/43655 | Feeds & Forages |
| Effect of ensiling treatment on secondary compounds and amino acid profile of tropical forage legumes, and implications for their pig feeding potential. | 2014 | Martens SD, Hoedtke S, Avila P, Heinritz S, Zeyner A. | Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/42151 | Feeds & Forages |
| Biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) in Brachiaria pastures: A novel strategy to improve eco-efficiency of crop-livestock systems and to mitigate climate change. | 2014 | Moreta, Danilo; Arango, Jacobo; Sotelo, Mauricio; Vergara, Daniel; Rincón, Alvaro; Ishitani, Manabu; Castro, Aracely; Miles, John; Peters, Michael; Tohme, Joe; Subbarao, Guntur V.; Rao, Idupulapati Madhusudana | Tropical Grasslands - Forrajes Tropicales | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56815 | Feeds & Forages |

| Integrating livestock feeds and production systems into agricultural multi-market models: The example of IMPACT. | 2014 | Msangi, S., Enahoro, D., Herrero, M., Magnan, N., Havlik, P., Notenbaert, A. and Nelgen, S. | Food Policy | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/51384 | Feeds & Forages |
|--|------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| A qualitative assessment of gender and irrigation technology in Kenya and Tanzania. | 2014 | Njuki, J., Waithanji, E., Sakwa, B., Kariuki, J., Mukewa, E and Ngige, J. | Gender, Technology and Development | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/51801 | Targeting Sustainable interventions |
| The blue revolution in Asia: Upgrading and governance in aquaculture value chains. | 2014 | Ponte, S., Kelling, I., Jespersen, K.S. and Kruijssen, F. | World Development | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/65116 | Value Chain Development |
| Climate-smart crop-livestock systems for smallholders in the tropics: Integration of new forage hybrids to intensify agriculture and to mitigate climate change through regulation of nitrification in soil. | 2014 | Rao, Idupulapati Madhusudana; Ishitani, Manabu; Miles, John; Peters, Michael; Tohme, Joseph M.; Arango, Jacobo; Moreta, Danilo E.; Lopez, Hernán; Castro, Aracely; Van der Hoek, Rein; Martens, Siriwan; Hyman, Glenn; Tapasco, Jeimar; Duitama, Jorge; Suárez, Harold; Borrero, Gonzalo; Núñez, Jonathan; Hartmann, Katharina; Domínguez, Moralba; Sotelo, Mauricio; Vergara, Daniel; Lavelle, Patrick; Subbarao, Guntur v.; Rincon, Alvaro; Plazas, Camilo; Mendoza, Reynaldo; Rathjen, Lena; Karwat, Hannes; Cadisch, Georg. | Tropical Grasslands - Forrajes Tropicales | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56812 | Feeds & Forages |
| Does aquaculture add resilience to the global food system? | 2014 | Troell, M., Naylor, R.L., Metian, M., Beveridge, M., Tyedmers, P.H., Folke, C., Arrow, K.J., Barrett, S., Crépin, AS., Ehrlich, P.R., Gren, Å., Kautsky, N., Levin, S.A., Nyborg, K., Österblom, H., Polasky, S., Scheffer, M., Walker, B.H., Xepapadeas, T., and Zeeuw, A. de. | PNAS | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/65121 | Value Chain Development |
| Perforin expression in Theileria parva specific Cytotoxic T Cells correlates with Cytotoxicity. | 2014 | Wendoh, J., Waihenya, R., Saya, R., Awino, E., Nene, V. and Steinaa, L. | Open Journal of Immunology | http://hdl.handle.net/10568/56588 | Animal Health |

Annex 2. Performance indicators for gender mainstreaming with targets defined

| Performance Indicator | CRP performance approaches requirements | CRP performance meets requirements | CRP performance exceeds requirements |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1. Gender inequality targets defined | Sex-disaggregated social data is being collected and used to diagnose important gender-related constraints in at least one of the CRP's main target populations | Sex-disaggregated social data collected and used to diagnose important gender-related constraints in at least one of the CRP's main target populations And The CRP has defined and collected baseline data on the main dimensions of gender inequality in the CRP's main target populations relevant to its expected outcomes (IDOs) Teams in the program's value chain countries have conducted (in Tanzania) or are conducting gender analyses and/or gender integrated baseline data collection (in Egypt, Ethiopia, Uganda, Nicaragua) to identify relevant gender based constraints operating among key populations in the chains. These efforts go beyond collecting sex disaggregated data in most instances, in line with the value chain analysis tools being tested in the CRP. These tools aim to integrate gender, and even 'transformative' issues around gender norms and attitudes, in value chain analysis to provide data needed to design gender responsive and transformative interventions. Therefore, the tools aim to identify drivers of gender inequality. | Sex-disaggregated social data collected and used to diagnose important gender-related constraints in at least one of the CRP's main target populations And The CRP has defined and collected baseline data on the main dimensions of gender inequality in the CRP's main target populations relevant to its expected outcomes (IDOs) And CRP targets changes in levels of gender inequality to which the CRP is or plans to contribute, with related numbers of men and women beneficiaries in main target populations |
| Performance Indicator | CRP performance approaches requirements | CRP performance meets requirements | CRP performance exceeds requirements |

| 2. Institutional architecture for |
|-----------------------------------|
| integration of gender is in place |

- CRP scientists and managers with responsibility for gender in the CRP's outputs are appointed, have written TORS.
- Procedures defined to report use of available diagnostic or baseline knowledge on gender routinely for assessment of the gender equality implications of the CRP's flagship research products as per the Gender Strategy
- -CRP M&E system has protocol for tracking progress on integration of gender in research

The Gender Theme currently has two full-time gender scientists and one gender research technician with clear TORs and work plans. We also have the equivalent of one additional full-time gender position but split across three countries.

The Gender Theme has drafted process indicators to monitor and evaluate progress on the Gender Strategy, and is working with the M&E team to draft gender -appropriate IDOs.

The Gender team (in conjunction with the CG Gender Network) has begun to define standards for assessing the gender implications of the CRP flagship projects.

- CRP scientists and managers with responsibility for gender in the CRP's outputs are appointed, have written TORS and funds allocated to support their interaction.
- Procedures defined to report use of available diagnostic or baseline knowledge on gender routinely for assessment of the gender equality implications of the CRP's flagship research products as per the Gender Strategy
- -CRP M&E system has protocol for tracking progress on integration of gender in research

And

A CRP plan approved for capacity development in gender analysis

CRP scientists and managers with responsibility for gender in the CRP's outputs are appointed, have written TORS and funds allocated to support their interaction.

- Procedures defined to report use of available diagnostic or baseline knowledge on gender routinely for assessment of the gender equality implications of the CRP's flagship research products as per the Gender Strategy
- -CRP M&E system has protocol for tracking progress on integration of gender in research

And

A CRP plan approved for capacity development in gender analysis

And

The CRP uses feedback provided by its M&E system to improve its integration of gender into research

Annex 3. Financial reports

GIAR TEMPLATE: L101

CRP No. 3.7 - "Livestock and Fish" Period: 01/01/2012 - 12/31/2013

Cumulative Financial Summary



Amounts in USD (000's)

Report Description

Name of Report: Cumuative Financial Summar

Frequency/Period: Annual
Deadline: Every April 15th

| Summary Report - by CG Partners | | (a) Total | POWB budget since in | ception | | (b) Actual cumulative Expenses | | | | | | (c) Variance / Balance | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center funds | Total Funding | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center funds | Total Funding | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center funds | Total Funding | |
| 1. AFRICA RICE | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 2. BIOVERSITY | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 3. CIAT | 2,537 | 677 | 4,234 | | 7,448 | 2,472 | 189 | 2,998 | | 5,658 | 65 | 489 | 1,237 | - | 1,790 | |
| 4. CIFOR | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | - | - | - | |
| 5. CIMMYT | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 6. CIP | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | - | - | - | |
| 7. ICARDA | 967 | 40 | 308 | | 1,315 | 918 | 22 | 291 | | 1,230 | 49 | 18 | 17 | - | 85 | |
| 8. ICRAF | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | - | - | - | |
| 9. ICRISAT | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | - | - | - | |
| 10. IFPRI | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 11. IITA | | | | | - | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 12. ILRI | 16,270 | 2,022 | 10,469 | - | 28,760 | 13,394 | 1,999 | 9,935 | | 25,329 | 2,876 | 22 | 534 | - | 3,431 | |
| 13. IRRI | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | - | - | - | |
| 14. IWMI | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 15. WORLDFISH | 2,440 | 558 | 4,259 | | 7,257 | 2,319 | 2,704 | 3,519 | 59 | 8,601 | 121 | (2,146 | 740 | (59) | (1,344) | |
| Total for CRP | 22,214 | 3,297 | 19,270 | | 44,781 | 19,104 | 4,914 | 16,742 | 59 | 40,818 | 3,110 | (1,617 |) 2,528 | (59) | 3,963 | |
| | 50% | 7% | 43% | 0% | 6 100% | 47% | 12% | 41% | 0% | 100% | 78% | -419 | 64% | -1% | 100% | |

| RP: | "3.7" - "Livestock and Fish" | Annual | | | 0 |
|------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| eriod: | 31-Dec-13 | Annuai | | | - |
| | USD (000's) | Funding | | | - S i |
| mounts in | 03D (000 s) | ranang | | | CGIA |
| eport Desc | rintian | | | | Sciencefor a food s |
| | port: Annual Funding Summary | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Period: Annual | | | | |
| eadline: | Every April 15th | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 15000005500005 | | | | |
| | nnual FINANCE PLAN (Totals for Windows | s 1 and 2 combine | ea) | ı | |
| | evel for Year - Initial Approval (as per PIA) | | | | |
| pproved Le | evel for Year - Final Amount | | | | |
| | | | | | L |
| ART 2 - F | unding Summary for Year | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 2013 Actual | Funding | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Windows 1&2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Total Funding |
| 1 | CGIAR Fund | 11,386 | - | - | 11,386 |
| 2 | ACIAR | 11,380 | | 220 | 220 |
| 3 | ARC Egypt | | | 51 | 51 |
| 4 | ASARECA | - | | 47 | 47 |
| 5 | AWARD | - | | 9 | |
| 6 | BBSRC | | | 187 | 187 |
| 7 | BMGF | - | 121 | 237 | 358 |
| 8 | DOW | - | - | 269 | 269 |
| 9 | EC | - | 1,280 | 69 | 1,350 |
| 10 | EC/ IFAD | - | 1,155 | - | 1,155 |
| 11 | EMBRAPA | | | 49 | 49 |
| 12 | Finland | - | - | 457 | 457 |
| 13 | FMD | - | - | 12 | 12 |
| 14 | Ford Foundation | - | - | 100 | 100 |
| 15 | GIZ | - | - | 1,193 | 1,193 |
| 16 | Heifer International | - | - | 297 | 297 |
| 17 | IFAD | | | 495 | 495 |
| 18 | IFPRI | - | - | 185 | 185 156 |
| 19 20 | ILRI INIA | - | 74 | 156 | 74 |
| 21 | INIFAP | - | - 74 | 76 | 76 |
| 22 | Irish Aid | - | | 508 | 508 |
| 23 | JCV | - | - | 129 | 129 |
| 25 | KARI | | - | 331 | 331 |
| 26 | KU | - | 5 | | |
| 27 | LOL | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| 28 | MAFF/JAPAN | - | 156 | - | 156 |
| 29 | Mexican | - | 150 | - | 150 |
| 30 | MEXICO | - | 28 | 50 | 77 |
| 31 | New York University | - | - | 148 | 148 |
| 34 | RDA | - | - | 191 | 191 |
| 35 | RGCA | - | | 40 | 40 |
| 36 | RLF | | | 3 | 3 |
| 37 | SDC | - | - | 1,355 | 1,355 |
| 38 | SUA UNEP | | • | 103 635 | 103 635 |
| 39 40 | University of New England | - | - | 183 | 183 |
| 41 | University of New England University of Vermont | - | | 136 | 136 |
| 42 | USAID | | 1,295 | 490 | 1,785 |
| 43 | USDA | - | - | 92 | 92 |
| 44 | UWA | - | - | 8 | 8 |
| 45 | Others< 50K | - | 95 | 243 | 338 |
| | | | | | |
| Total for | CRP "X.X" | 11,386 | 4,359 | 8,758 | 24,502 |
| | | | -, | - | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

CRP No. "3.7" - "Livestock and Fish" 31-Dec-13

Annual Financial Summary by Centers

CGIAR Not for a food secure future

Amounts in USD (000's)

Report Description

Name of Report:

Name of Report: Annual Financial Summary by Centers & Other Participants

Frequency/Period: Annual
Deadline: Every April 15th

| Summary Report - by CG Partners | (a) CRP 2013 POWB ap | proved budget | | | | (b) CRP 2013 Expendit | ture | | | | (c) Variance this Year | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center funds | Total Funding | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center funds | Total Funding | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center funds | Total Funding |
| 1. AFRICA RICE | | | | | - | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2. BIOVERSITY | | - | - | - | - | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3. CIAT | 1,362 | 677 | 2,740 | - | 4,779 | 1,297 | 189 | 1,503 | - | 2,989 | 65 | 489 | 1,237 | - | 1,790 |
| 4. CIFOR | | | | | - | | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 5. CIMMYT | | | | | - | | | | | - | | - | - | - | |
| 6. CIP | | | - | - | - | | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7. ICARDA | 546 | 40 | 196 | - | 782 | 497 | 22 | 179 | - | 698 | 49 | 18 | 17 | - | 84 |
| 8. ICRAF | | - | | | - | | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 9. ICRISAT | | | | | - | | - | | | - | | - | - | - | |
| 10. IFPRI | | | | | - | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11. IITA | | - | - | - | - | | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12. ILRI | 11,284 | 1,228 | 5,888 | - | 18,400 | 8,407 | 1,386 | 5,430 | | 15,223 | 2,877 | (158) | 458 | - | 3,177 |
| 13. IRRI | | | | | - | | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 14. IWMI | | - | | | - | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15. WORLDFISH | 1,305 | 411 | 2,195 | | 3,911 | 1,184 | 2,704 | 1,646 | 59 | 5,593 | 121 | (2,293) | 549 | (59) | (1,682) |
| Total for CRP | 14,497 | 2,356 | 11,018 | | 27,871 | 11,386 | 4,300 | 8,758 | 59 | 24,502 | 3,111 | (1,944) | 2,261 | (59) | 3,369 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 52% | 8% | 40% | 0% | 100% | 46% | 18% | 36% | 0% | 100% | 92% | -58% | 67% | -2% | 100% |

Note

The budget includes the 2012 carryover of \$2,615; IRLI of \$2,585; CIAT OF \$1; ICARDA \$29.

Annual Financial Summary by Natural Classification

CGIAR

CRP No. "3.7" - "Livestock and Fish" 31-Dec-1 Amounts in USD 000's

Total Net Costs

| Report Description Name of Report: | Financial Summary by N | Vatural Classificat | tion lines | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| requency/Period: | Annual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peadline: | Every April 15th Windows | | | | | Windows | | | | | Windows | | | | |
| | 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center Funds | Total Funding | 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center Funds | Total Funding | 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center Funds | Total Fundin |
| otal CRP"3.7" | | | /B Approved Budget | | | | | Actual | | | | | Unspent/Variance | 1 | |
| ersonnel | 5,983 | 826 | 3,628 | - | 10,438 | 5,062 | 1,733 | 3,202 | - | 9,997 | 921 | (907) | 426 | - | 4 |
| ollaborators Costs - CGIAR Centers | 301 | 127 | - | - | 428 | - | - | - | - | - | 301 | 127 | - | - | 4 |
| ollaborator Costs - Partners | 30 | 97 | 1,775 | | 1,902 | 157 | 308 | 1,386 | - | 1,850 | (127) | (211) | | - | |
| upplies and services | 5,516 | 703 | 3,705 | | 9,924 | 3,515 | 1,411 | 2,699 | - | 7,625 | 2,001 | (708) | | - | 2, |
| perational Travel | 874 | 233 | 589 | | 1,697 | 557 | 239 | 639 | | 1,436 | 317 | (6) | | - | |
| epreciation | 12,710 | 2,027 | 9,742 | | 91 24,479 | 98 | 119 3,811 | 7,926 | 59 59 | 277 21,186 | (93) 3,320 | (78) | | (59) (59) | |
| Sub-total of Direct Costs adirect Costs | 1,787 | 328 | 1,277 | | 3,392 | 1,996 | 3,811 489 | 7,926 832 | | 3,317 | (209) | (1,784) (160) | | (59) | 3,2 |
| Total - All Costs | 14,497 | 2,356 | 11,018 | | 27,871 | 11,386 | 4,300 | 8,758 | | 24,502 | 3,111 | (1,944) | | (59) | |
| ESS Coll Costs CGIAR Centers | (301) | (127) | | | (428) | | | | | | (301) | (127) | | | (4 |
| Total Net Costs | 14,196 | 2,229 | 11,018 | - | 27,443 | 11,386 | 4,300 | 8,758 | 59 | 24,502 | 2,810 | (2,071) | | (59) | |
| Amounts for each participating | center below: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CIAT | 730 | | B Approved Budget | | 1.115 | 600 | 40 | Actual | | | *** | *** | Unspent/Variance | | |
| ersonnel | 720 | 181 | 515 | | 1,416 | 609 | 40 | 465 | | 1,114 | 111 | 141 | 50 | - | 3 |
| collaborators Costs - CGIAR Centers | | - | - | | | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | |
| ollaborator Costs - Partners | - | - | 724 | | 724 | 470 | | 385 | | 385 | - (20) | - | 339 665 | - | 3 |
| upplies and services perational Travel | 440 20 | 262 110 | 1,027 118 | | 1,729 248 | 478 39 | 85 28 | 362 123 | | 925 191 | (38) | 178 81 | (5) | - | 8 |
| | 5 | 25 | 40 | | 70 | 39 | 10 | 123 | | | (19) | 15 | 40 | - | |
| Depreciation Sub-total of Direct Costs | 1,185 | 578 | 2,424 | | 4,188 | 1,128 | 163 | 1,335 | | 2,626 | 57 | 415 | 1,089 | | 1,5 |
| ndirect Costs | 177 | 99 | 315 | | 591 | 169 | 25 | 168 | | 362 | 8 | 74 | 147 | | 2,3 |
| Total - All Costs | 1,362 | 677 | 2,740 | | 4,779 | 1,297 | 189 | 1,503 | | 2,989 | 65 | 489 | 1,237 | | 1,7 |
| ESS Coll Costs CGIAR Centers | - | | | | - | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Total Net Costs | 1,362 | 677 | 2,740 | | 4,779 | 1,297 | 189 | 1,503 | | 2,989 | 65 | 489 | 1,237 | | 1,79 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CARDA ersonnel | 291 | POW | B Approved Budget 6 | | 297 | 242 | | Actual 6 | | 248 | 48 | | Unspent/Variance | _ | |
| Collaborators Costs - CGIAR Centers | 251 | | - | | 251 | 242 | | - | | - | - | | - | - | |
| ollaborator Costs - Partners | - | 13 | 17 | | 30 | 35 | 21 | 37 | | 93 | (35) | (8) | (20) | | (|
| upplies and services | 92 | 7 | 123 | | 221 | 89 | 1 | 79 | | 169 | 2 | 6 | 44 | | |
| perational Travel | 73 | 13 | | | 113 | 48 | | 38 | | 86 | 25 | 13 | (11) | - | |
| epreciation | | 7 | 2 | | 9 | - | - | - | | | - | 7 | 2 | - | |
| Sub-total of Direct Costs | 455 | 40 | 175 | | 670 | 414 | 22 | 160 | | 596 | 41 | 18 | 15 | | |
| ndirect Costs | 91 | - | 21 | | 112 | 83 | - | 19 | | 102 | 8 | - | 2 | - | |
| Total - All Costs | 546 | 40 | 196 | | 782 | 497 | 22 | 179 | • | 698 | 49 | 18 | 17 | • | |
| ESS Coll Costs CGIAR Centers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Net Costs | 546 | 40 | 196 | • | 782 | 497 | 22 | 179 | • | 698 | 49 | 18 | 17 | • | |
| RI | | POW | /B Approved Budget | | | | | Actual | | | | | Unspent/Variance | | |
| ersonnel | 3,221 | 501 | 2,282 | | 6,003 | 2,599 | 610 | 1,987 | | 5,196 | 622 | (109) | 294 | - | 8 |
| ollaborators Costs - CGIAR Centers | 50 | 127 | - | | 177 | - | - | - | | - | 50 | 127 | - | - | 1 |
| ollaborator Costs - Partners | 30 | 45 | 633 | | 708 | 116 | 115 | 692 | | 923 | (86) | (70) | | - | (2 |
| applies and services | 4,644 | 290 | 1,993 | | 6,928 | 2,630 | 388 | 1,860 | | 4,878 | 2,015 | (98) | | - | 2,0 |
| perational Travel | 163 | 104 | 283 | | 550 | 283 | 73 | 410 | | 766 | (120) | 31 | (127) | - | (|
| epreciation | - | | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | (0) | - | | | |
| Sub-total of Direct Costs | 8,108 1,063 | 1,066 | 5,191 | - | 14,365 1,921 | 5,628 | 1,186 200 | 4,950 480 | | 11,764 2,090 | 2,480 (347) | (120) | | | 2, |
| rdirect Costs Total - All Costs | 9,171 | 161 1,228 | 697 5,888 | | 1,921 | 1,410 7,038 | 200 1,386 | 5,430 | | 2,090 13,854 | 2,132 | (38) | | | 2,4 |
| ESS Coll Costs CGIAR Centers | (50) | (127) | | | (177) | | | | | | (50) | (127) | | | |
| coo con costs CGIAR Centers | (50) | (127) | - | | (177) | | | | - | | (50) | (127) | - | | (|

| | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center Funds | Total Funding | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center Funds | Total Funding | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral Funding | Center Funds | Total Funding |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| WORLDFISH | | POW | /B Approved Budget | | | | | Actual | | | | | Unspent/Variance | | |
| Personnel | 907 | 145 | 826 | | 1,877 | 843 | 1,084 | 744 | - | 2,671 | 64 | (939) | 82 | - | (793) |
| Collaborators Costs - CGIAR Centers | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Collaborator Costs - Partners | - | 39 | 401 | | 440 | 6 | 172 | 272 | - | 449 | (6) | (133) | 129 | - | (9) |
| Supplies and services | 123 | 144 | 562 | | 828 | 21 | 938 | 398 | - | 1,356 | 101 | (794) | 164 | - | (528) |
| Operational Travel | 96 | 6 | 161 | | 264 | 47 | 138 | 68 | - | 252 | 49 | (132) | 93 | - | 11 |
| Depreciation | - | 9 | 2 | | 11 | 96 | 109 | 0 | 59 | 264 | (96) | (100) | 2 | (59) | (253) |
| Sub-total of Direct Costs | 1,126 | 343 | 1,951 | | 3,420 | 1,013 | 2,440 | 1,481 | 59 | 4,993 | 113 | (2,097) | 470 | (59) | (1,573) |
| Indirect Costs | 179 | 68 | 244 | | 491 | 171 | 264 | 165 | | 600 | 8 | (196) | 79 | - | (110) |
| Total - All Costs | 1,305 | 411 | 2,195 | | 3,911 | 1,184 | 2,704 | 1,646 | 59 | 5,593 | 121 | (2,293) | 549 | (59) | (1,682) |
| LESS Coll Costs CGIAR Centers | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Net Costs | 1,305 | 411 | 2,195 | | 3,911 | 1,184 | 2,704 | 1,646 | 59 | 5,593 | 121 | (2,293) | 549 | (59) | (1,682) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PMU | | POW | /B Approved Budget | | | | | Actual | | | | | Unspent/Variance | 1 | |
| Personnel | 845 | - | | - | 845 | 769 | - | | - | 769 | 76 | - | - | - | 76 |
| Collaborators Costs - CGIAR Centers | 251 | - | - | - | 251 | - | - | - | - | - | 251 | - | - | - | 251 |
| Collaborator Costs - Partners | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Supplies and services | 218 | - | - | - | 218 | 297 | - | - | - | 297 | (79) | - | - | - | (79) |
| Operational Travel | 522 | - | - | - | 522 | 140 | - | - | - | 140 | 382 | - | - | - | 382 |
| Depreciation | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Sub-total of Direct Costs | 1,836 | | | | 1,836 | 1,206 | | | | 1,206 | 630 | | | | 630 |
| Indirect Costs | 277 | | | | 277 | 163 | | | | 163 | 115 | - | - | - | 115 |
| Total - All Costs | 2,113 | | | | 2,113 | 1,369 | | | | 1,369 | 745 | | | | 745 |
| LESS Coll Costs CGIAR Centers | (251) | | | | (251) | | | | | | (251) | | | | (251) |
| Total Net Costs | 1,862 | - | | | 1,862 | 1,369 | | | | 1,369 | 493 | | | | 493 |

CRP No. "3.7" - "Livestock and Fish"

Period: 12/31/2013 Amounts in USD 000's

Annual Financial Summary by Themes



| Report Description | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name of Report: | Financial Summary by Themes |
| Frequency/Period: | Annual |

| Frequency/Period: | Annual | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Deadline: | Every April 15th | | |
| | POWB Approved | Current Year Actual Expenditures | Unspent Budget |
| Summary Report - by Themes | | | |
| Theme 1: Animal Health | 4,833 | 4,883 | (50) |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | 4,357 | 6,213 | (1,856) |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 6,331 | 4,021 | 2,309 |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 6,246 | 5,495 | 751 |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | 1,505 | 822 | 683 |
| Gender Strategies | 2,487 | 1,700 | 787 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | 2,113 | 1,369 | 745 |
| Total - All Costs | 27,871 | 24,502 | 3,369 |
| | | | |
| CIAT | | | |
| Theme 1: Animal Health | | | - |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | 2.00 | 2.222 | |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 3,695 | 2,328 | 1,367 |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 840 | 528 | 313 |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | 244 | 133 | 111 |
| Gender Strategies CRP Management/Coordination | 244 | 155 | 111 |
| Total - All Costs | 4,779 | 2,989 | 1,790 |
| Total - All Costs | 4,773 | 2,303 | 1,730 |
| ICARDA | | | |
| Theme 1: Animal Health | | | _ |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | 385 | 414 | (29) |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 119 | 46 | 73 |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 278 | 238 | 40 |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | - | - | - |
| Gender Strategies | - | - | - |
| CRP Management/Coordination | | | - |
| Total - All Costs | 782 | 698 | 84 |
| | | | |
| ILRI Theme 1: Animal Health | 4,737 | 4,445 | 292 |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | 2,872 | 2,889 | (16) |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 2,468 | 1,588 | 880 |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 3,512 | 3,273 | 239 |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | 1,095 | 497 | 597 |
| Gender Strategies | 1,602 | 1,161 | 441 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | 2,113 | 1,369 | 745 |
| Total - All Costs | 18,400 | 15,223 | 3,177 |
| | | | |
| WORLDFISH | | | |
| Theme 1: Animal Health | 96 | 438 | (342) |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | 1,099 | 2,910 | (1,811) |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 49 | 60 | (11) |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 1,616 | 1,456 | 160 |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | 410 | 324 | 86 |
| Gender Strategies | 641 | 405 | 236 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | - | | - |
| Total - All Costs | 3,911 | 5,593 | (1,682) |

CRP No. 3.7 - "Livestock & Fish" Period: 01/01/2014 - 12/31/2014 Amounts in USD 000's

Annual Financial Summary of Gender by Flagship Project



Report Description

| Name of Report: | Financial Summary of Gender Expenditure by Flagship Project |
|-------------------|---|
| Frequency/Period: | Annual |
| Deadline: | Every April 15th |

| | POWB Approved | Current Year Actual Expenditures | Unspent Budget |
|--|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Summary Gender Report - by Flagship | | | |
| Project | | | |
| Theme 1: Animal Health | 236 | 215 | 21 |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | 462 | 462 | (272) |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 270 | 141 | 129 |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 696 | 770 | (74) |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | | | |
| | 69 | 115 | (45) |
| Theme 6: Gender, Impact & Learning | 1,851 | 1,706 | 145 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | | - | S. S. S. |
| Total - All Costs | 3,584 | 3,408 | 175 |

| CIAT | | | |
|--|-----|-----|------|
| Theme 1: Animal Health | | | 1.5 |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | | | |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 155 | 89 | 66 |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 175 | 265 | (90) |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | | | |
| | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Theme 6: Gender, Impact & Learning | 307 | 233 | 74 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | 4 | | 34 |
| Total - All Costs | 640 | 589 | 51 |

| ICARDA | | | |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| Theme 1: Animal Health | | | (% |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | | ¥5 | |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 51 | 5 | (5) |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 68 | 60 | 8 |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | | | |
| | ACC | 63 | 104 |
| Theme 6: Gender, Impact & Learning | | | (4 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | | | 1/4 |
| Total - All Costs | 68 | 65 | 3 |

| ILRI | | | |
|--|-------|-------|---------|
| Theme 1: Animal Health | 236 | 215 | 21 |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | 462 | 462 | |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | 115 | 46 | 68 |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 295 | 365 | (70) |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | | | 0.589.3 |
| | 67 | 57 | 10 |
| Theme 6: Gender, Impact & Learning | 1,089 | 1,018 | 71 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | | | - |
| Total - All Costs | 2,263 | 2,163 | 100 |

| WORLDFISH | | | |
|--|-----|-----|--------|
| Theme 1: Animal Health | 61 | 21 | 1.5 |
| Theme 2: Animal Genetics | | | |
| Theme 3: Feeds and Forages | - 1 | - | |
| Theme 4: Value Chain Development | 158 | 80 | 78 |
| Theme 5: Targeting Sustainable Interventions | | | |
| State and the state of the stat | | 56 | (56) |
| Theme 6: Gender, Impact & Learning | 455 | 455 | 17,000 |
| CRP Management/Coordination | * | * | 4 |
| Total - All Costs | 613 | 591 | - 21 |

CRP No. "3.7" - "More Meat, Milk and Fish"

Period: 01/01/2013 to 12/31/2013

Amounts in USD 000's

CRP Partnership Report



Report Description

Name of Report: CRP Partnerships Report

Frequency/Period: Annual Deadline: Every April 15th

| | TOTAL FOR CRP "X.X" | | | | Actua | l Expenses - This Y | ear | |
|------|---------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-------|
| Item | Institute Acronym | Institute Name | Country | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral | Center Funds | TOTAL |
| | 1 UG | University of Goettingen | Germany | - | - | 11 | - | 11 |
| | 2 RAB | Rwanda Agriculture Board (RAB) | Rwanda | - | - | 16 | - | 16 |
| | 3 NARO | National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO) | Uganda | - | - | 8 | - | 8 |
| | 4 | NARS (CSIRO, AUS; Univ. Of Murdoch, AUS; MORU, THA,) | Laos | - | - | 83 | - | 83 |
| | 5 | CORPOICA | Colombia | - | - | 6 | - | 6 |
| | 6 UH | University of Hohenheim | Germany | - | - | 25 | - | 25 |
| | 7 NAFRI | National Agriculture and Forestry Research Institute - Ministry of | f / Cambodia/Laos/Vietnam | - | - | 169 | - | 169 |
| | 8 DAPH | Department of Animal Production and Health (DAPH) | Combodia | - | - | 37 | - | 37 |
| | 9 RUA | RUA Royal University of Agriculture | Combodia | - | - | 22 | - | 22 |
| | 10 NARS | NARS | | - | - | 9 | - | 9 |
| | 11 APRI | Animal Production Research Institute | Egypt | - | - | 37 | - | 37 |
| | 12 OSU | Oregon State University | USA | - | 21 | - | - | 21 |
| | 13 IMAU | Inner Mongolia Agriculture University | China | 21 | - | - | - | 21 |
| | 14 BARC | Bako Agricultural Research Center | Ethiopia | 7 | - | - | - | 7 |
| | 15 DBARC | Debre Birhan Agricultural Research Center | Ethiopia | 7 | - | - | - | 7 |
| | 16 BAU | Bangladesh Agricultural University | Bangladesh | - | - | 18 | - | 18 |
| | 17 CIAT | International Centre for Tropical Agriculture | Colombia | - | 64 | - | - | 64 |
| | 18 CHIRAG | Central Himalayan Rural Action Group | India | - | 25 | - | - | 25 |
| | 19 CVL | CENTRAL VETERINARY LABORATORY, WINDHOEK | Namibia | - | - | 61 | - | 61 |
| | 20 EISMV | Ecole Inter-Ettats des Sciences et Medicine Veterinaires | Senegal | - | - | 152 | - | 152 |
| | 21 EIAR | Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research | Ethiopia | _ | - | 17 | | 17 |
| | 22 FORWARD Nepal | FORWARD Nepal | Nepal | - | - | 8 | - | 8 |
| | 23 FLI | FRIEDRICH-LOFFLER-INSTITUTE | Germany | - | - | 112 | _ | 112 |
| | 24 HI | Heifer International | Tanzania | - | - | 40 | - | 40 |
| | 25 INHERE | Institute of Himalayan Environmental Research and Education | India | - | 26 | - | - | 26 |
| | 26 KARI | Kenya Agricultural Research Institute | Kenya | - | - | 14 | - | 14 |
| | 27 NIAH | National Institute of Animal Husbundry | Vietnam | | - | 48 | - | 48 |
| | 28 NLU | Nong Lam University | Vietnam | - | - | 9 | - | 9 |
| | 29 SUA | Sokoine University of Agriculture | Tanzania | 26 | - | 37 | | 63 |
| | 30 | University of Peradeniya | Sri Lanka | - | - | 15 | - | 15 |
| | 31 TDB | Tanzania Dairy Board | Tanzania | - | - | 11 | _ | 11 |
| | 32 TIHO | UNIVERSITY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE HANNOVER (TIHO) | Germany | - | - | 49 | - | 49 |
| | 33 UoN | Univ of Nottingham-KOR014 | UK | - | - | 60 | - | 60 |
| | 34 UAF | University of Agriculture Faisalabad-Pakistan | Pakistan | | | 42 | - | 42 |
| | 36 IIASA | International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis | Austria | 90 | - | - | - | 90 |
| | 38 | Bangladesh Fisheries Research Institute | Bangladesh | - | 8 | _ | - | 8 |
| | 39 | BSFF | Bangladesh | - | 7 | - | - | 7 |
| | 40 | CARE International | (blank) | _ | _ | 266 | _ | 266 |

| 41 | | CODEC | Bangladesh | - | 64 | - | - | 64 |
|----|---------------|---|------------|-----|-----|-------|---|-------|
| 42 | | Innpact Sarl | Luxemburg | - | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| 43 | | Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry Research Institute for Aquacul | Vietnam | - | 28 | - | - | 28 |
| 44 | | SAVE | Bangladesh | - | 13 | - | - | 13 |
| 45 | | Speed Trust | Bangladesh | - | 35 | - | - | 35 |
| 46 | | University Hanover | Germany | - | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| 47 | | Unversity of Malawi | Malawi | - | 8 | - | - | 8 |
| 48 | | Water Research Institute, Ghana | Ghana | - | 9 | - | - | 9 |
| 49 | | Others | (blank) | 6 | - | - | - | 6 |
| | Total for CRP | | | 157 | 308 | 1,386 | - | 1,850 |

| | 1 UG University of Goettingen Germany 2 RAB Rwanda Agriculture Board (RAB) Rwanda 3 NARO National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO) Uganda 4 NARS (CSIRO, AUS; Univ. Of Murdoch, AUS; MORU, THA,) Laos 5 UNA Universidad Nacional Agraria (UNA) Nicaragua | | | | Actua | al Expenses - This Ye | ar | |
|------|---|--|------------------------|------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|-------|
| Item | Institute Acronym | Institute Name | Country | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral | Center Funds | TOTAL |
| 1 | UG | University of Goettingen | Germany | - | - | 11 | - | 11 |
| 2 | RAB | Rwanda Agriculture Board (RAB) | Rwanda | - | - | 16 | - | 16 |
| 3 | NARO | National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO) | Uganda | - | - | 8 | - | 8 |
| 4 | | NARS (CSIRO, AUS; Univ. Of Murdoch, AUS; MORU, THA,) | Laos | - | - | 83 | - | 83 |
| 5 | UNA | Universidad Nacional Agraria (UNA) | Nicaragua | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | | CORPOICA | Colombia | - | - | 6 | - | 6 |
| 7 | UH | University of Hohenheim | Germany | - | - | 25 | - | 25 |
| 8 | NAFRI | National Agriculture and Forestry Research Institute - Ministry of | A Cambodia/Laos/Vietna | m - | - | 169 | - | 169 |
| 9 | TNU | Tay Nguyen University (TNU) | VietNama | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | DAPH | Department of Animal Production and Health (DAPH) | Combodia | - | - | 37 | - | 37 |
| 11 | RUA | RUA Royal University of Agriculture | Combodia | - | - | 22 | - | 22 |
| 12 | | NARS | | - | - | 9 | - | 9 |
| 13 | SUA | SOKOINE UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE (SUA) | Tanzania | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | TALIRI | Tanzania Livestock Research Institute (TALIRI) | Tanzania | | | | | - |
| | | Total for CRP | | - | - | 385 | - | 385 |

| | 7. ICARDA | | | | Actual Expenses - This Year | | | | |
|------|-------------------|---|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------|--|
| Item | Institute Acronym | Institute Name | Country | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral | Center Funds | TOTAL | |
| 1 | APRI | Animal Production Research Institute | Egypt | - | - | 37 | - | 37 | |
| 2 | OSU | Oregon State University | USA | - | 21 | - | - | 21 | |
| 3 | IMAU | Inner Mongolia Agriculture University | China | 21 | - | - | - | 21 | |
| 4 | BARC | Bako Agricultural Research Center | Ethiopia | 7 | - | - | - | 7 | |
| 5 | DBARC | Debre Birhan Agricultural Research Center | Ethiopia | 7 | - | - | - | 7 | |
| | | Total for CRP | | 35 | 21 | 37 | - | 93 | |

| | 12. ILRI | | | Actual Expenses - This Year | | | | |
|------|-------------------|--|------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|
| Item | Institute Acronym | Institute Name | Country | Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral | Center Funds | TOTAL |
| 1 | BAU | Bangladesh Agricultural University | Bangladesh | - | - | 18 | - | 18 |
| 2 | CIAT | International Centre for Tropical Agriculture | Colombia | - | 64 | - | - | 64 |
| 3 | CHIRAG | Central Himalayan Rural Action Group | India | | 25 | - | - | 25 |
| 4 | CVL | CENTRAL VETERINARY LABORATORY, WINDHOEK | Namibia | - | - | 61 | - | 61 |
| 5 | EISMV | Ecole Inter-Ettats des Sciences et Medicine Veterinaires | Senegal | - | - | 152 | - | 152 |
| 6 | EIAR | Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research | Ethiopia | - | - | 17 | - | 17 |
| 7 | FORWARD Nepal | FORWARD Nepal | Nepal | | - | 8 | - | 8 |

| 8 | FLI | FRIEDRICH-LOFFLER-INSTITUTE | Germany | - | - | 112 | - | 112 |
|----|--------|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|
| 9 | HI | Heifer International | Tanzania | - | - | 40 | - | 40 |
| 10 | INHERE | Institute of Himalayan Environmental Research and Education | India | - | 26 | - | - | 26 |
| 11 | KARI | Kenya Agricultural Research Institute | Kenya | - | - | 14 | - | 14 |
| 12 | NIAH | National Institute of Animal Husbundry | Vietnam | - | - | 48 | - | 48 |
| 13 | NLU | Nong Lam University | Vietnam | - | - | 9 | - | 9 |
| 14 | SUA | Sokoine University of Agriculture | Tanzania | 26 | - | 37 | - | 63 |
| 15 | | University of Peradeniya | Sri Lanka | | - | 15 | - | 15 |
| 16 | TDB | Tanzania Dairy Board | Tanzania | - | - | 11 | - | 11 |
| 17 | TIHO | UNIVERSITY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE HANNOVER (TIHO) | Germany | - | - | 49 | - | 49 |
| 18 | UoN | Univ of Nottingham-KOR014 | UK | - | - | 60 | - | 60 |
| 19 | UAF | University of Agriculture Faisalabad-Pakistan | Pakistan | - | - | 42 | - | 42 |
| 21 | IIASA | International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis | Austria | 90 | - | - | - | 90 |
| | | Total for CRP | | 116 | 115 | 692 | - | 923 |

| | 15. WORLDFISH | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|------------|--|--|
| Item | Institute Acronym | Institute Name | Country | | |
| 1 | | Bangladesh Fisheries Research Institute | Bangladesh | | |
| 2 | | BSFF | Bangladesh | | |
| 3 | | CARE International | (blank) | | |
| 4 | | CODEC | Bangladesh | | |
| 5 | | Innpact Sarl | Luxemburg | | |
| 6 | Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry Research Institute for Aquacul Vietna | | ul Vietnam | | |
| 7 | | SAVE | Bangladesh | | |
| 8 | | Speed Trust | Bangladesh | | |
| 9 | | University Hanover | Germany | | |
| 10 | | Unversity of Malawi | Malawi | | |
| 11 | | Water Research Institute, Ghana | Ghana | | |
| 12 | | Others | (blank) | | |
| Total for CRP | | | | | |

| TOTAL | Center Funds | Bilateral | Window 3 | Windows 1 & 2 |
|-------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|
| | - | - | 8 | - |
| | - | - | 7 | - |
| 20 | - | 266 | - | - |
| | - | - | 64 | - |
| | - | 0 | - | - |
| 2 | - | - | 28 | - |
| 1 | - | - | 13 | - |
| | - | - | 35 | - |
| | - | 5 | - | - |
| | - | - | 8 | - |
| | - | - | 9 | - |
| | - | - | - | 6 |
| 4 | | 272 | 172 | 6 |

| TO | OTAL FOR CRP "X.X" | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1. AFRICA RICE | | | | |
| 2. BIOVERSITY | | | | |
| 3. CIAT | | | | |
| 4. CIFOR | | | | |
| 5. CIMMYT | | | | |
| 6. CIP | | | | |
| 7. ICARDA | | | | |
| 8. ICRAF | | | | |
| 9. ICRISAT | | | | |
| 10. IFPRI | | | | |
| 11. IITA | | | | |
| 12. ILRI | | | | |
| 13. IRRI | | | | |
| 14. IWMI | | | | |
| 15. WORLDFISH | | | | |
| | Total for CRP | | | |

| Actual Expenses - This Year | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|
| Windows 1 & 2 | Window 3 | Bilateral | Center Funds | TOTAL |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | 385 | - | 385 |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| 35 | 21 | 37 | - | 93 |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| 116 | 115 | 692 | - | 923 |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | 172 | 272 | - | 449 |
| 157 | 308 | 1,386 | - | 1,850 |

Notes

All figures shown here are illustrative only, and are in USD 000's

Amounts reported are for actual expenditure, so unliquidated advances not included.

Institutes should be clearly identifiable by name and/or acronym, plus country.

Totals within this report must agree with amounts reported in L121 "Collaborator Costs - Partners".